

THE NAVY, NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES
AND THE OFFSHORE OIL CONTROVERSY

THESIS
L84

Library
U. S. Naval Academy School
Monterey, California

THE NEW, 1911, PUBLISHED WORKS

AND THE OFFICIAL ALL INFORMATION

BY

THOMAS W. H. HARRIS

1911, 1912, 1913, 1914, 1915

1916, 1917, 1918, 1919, 1920, 1921

1922, 1923, 1924, 1925, 1926, 1927

Added to the records of the University

of Pittsburgh is partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

THE NAVY, NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES
AND THE OFFSHORE OIL CONTROVERSY

by

Kenneth Carl Lovell

B.S., U.S. Naval Academy, 1936

B.C.E., Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, 1940

M.C.E., Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, 1941

Submitted to the Graduate School of the University
of Pittsburgh in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of
Master of Science

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

1954

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

by

LEONARD D. WHITE

B.A., U.C. 1930; M.A., U.C. 1932

B.S., U.C. 1934; M.S., U.C. 1936

B.S., U.C. 1938; M.S., U.C. 1940

Submitted to the Graduate School of the University
of Chicago in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of
Master of Science

Chicago, Illinois

1941

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION - A STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM	1
II. THE CONTINENTAL SHELF	4
A. Concept of the Term	4
B. Geological Aspects	6
C. Geographical Aspects	9
D. Petroleum of the United States Continental Shelf	11
III. INTERNATIONAL LAW CONCERNING NATIONAL LIMITS	13
A. Early History, 1494 - 1782	13
1. Treaty of Tordesillas - 1494	13
2. Bynkershoek's Doctrine, 1702	13
3. Galiani's Doctrine, 1782	14
B. United States' History, 1776-1944	15
C. Expansion of Prior Concept, 1945-1953	18
1. U.S. Presidential Proclamation No. 2667, 1945	18
2. Other Nations' Similar Action Since 1945	20
IV. INTERSTATE CLAIMS AND COURT DECISIONS TO 1938	23
A. The Original States	23
B. Later States	26
1. Non-controversial States	26
2. Louisiana	27
3. California	29
4. Texas	31
V. THE NAVY'S CASE IN 1937 - 1939	34
A. The Situation in California	34

1. The first of these is the fact that the...
2. The second is the fact that the...
3. The third is the fact that the...
4. The fourth is the fact that the...
5. The fifth is the fact that the...
6. The sixth is the fact that the...
7. The seventh is the fact that the...
8. The eighth is the fact that the...
9. The ninth is the fact that the...
10. The tenth is the fact that the...
11. The eleventh is the fact that the...
12. The twelfth is the fact that the...
13. The thirteenth is the fact that the...
14. The fourteenth is the fact that the...
15. The fifteenth is the fact that the...
16. The sixteenth is the fact that the...
17. The seventeenth is the fact that the...
18. The eighteenth is the fact that the...
19. The nineteenth is the fact that the...
20. The twentieth is the fact that the...
21. The twenty-first is the fact that the...
22. The twenty-second is the fact that the...
23. The twenty-third is the fact that the...
24. The twenty-fourth is the fact that the...
25. The twenty-fifth is the fact that the...
26. The twenty-sixth is the fact that the...
27. The twenty-seventh is the fact that the...
28. The twenty-eighth is the fact that the...
29. The twenty-ninth is the fact that the...
30. The thirtieth is the fact that the...

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
B. The International Situation	37
VI. CONGRESSIONAL AND EXECUTIVE ACTIONS AND JUDICIAL DECISIONS, 1937 - 1952	39
A. Congressional Actions	39
B. Executive Actions	45
C. Court Decisions	50
VII. EXECUTIVE ORDER 10426 - 16 JANUARY 1953	54
A. The Navy Receives the Offshore Oil	54
B. Actions of the Office of Naval Petroleum Reserves	56
VIII. NATIONAL GOVERNMENT ACTIONS, 1953 - 1954	58
A. Legislative Action, 1953	58
B. Executive Action, 1953	61
C. Subsequent Developments, 1954	63
IX. PETROLEUM ENGINEERING ASPECTS OF NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES	65
A. Establishment of the Reserves	65
1. 1912 - 1914	65
2. World War I and After	67
B. Military Petroleum Requirements	69
C. Naval Petroleum Reserves - Production and Reserves Data ...	70
1. Continental	70
a. Elk Hills	70
b. Buena Vista Hills	71
c. Teapot Dome	71
d. Point Barrow	72
e. Oil Shale Reserves	73

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
2. Offshore	74
a. General Discussion	74
b. California	74
c. Texas	75
d. Louisiana	75
e. Summary	76
X. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	78
A. Conclusions	78
B. Recommendations	80
BIBLIOGRAPHY:	
A - References Cited	81
B - Related References Not Cited	84

THE HISTORY OF THE

1884

1. The first part of the history of the
2. The second part of the history of the
3. The third part of the history of the
4. The fourth part of the history of the
5. The fifth part of the history of the
6. The sixth part of the history of the
7. The seventh part of the history of the
8. The eighth part of the history of the
9. The ninth part of the history of the
10. The tenth part of the history of the
11. The eleventh part of the history of the
12. The twelfth part of the history of the
13. The thirteenth part of the history of the
14. The fourteenth part of the history of the
15. The fifteenth part of the history of the
16. The sixteenth part of the history of the
17. The seventeenth part of the history of the
18. The eighteenth part of the history of the
19. The nineteenth part of the history of the
20. The twentieth part of the history of the

THE HISTORY OF THE

21. The twenty-first part of the history of the
22. The twenty-second part of the history of the
23. The twenty-third part of the history of the
24. The twenty-fourth part of the history of the
25. The twenty-fifth part of the history of the
26. The twenty-sixth part of the history of the
27. The twenty-seventh part of the history of the
28. The twenty-eighth part of the history of the
29. The twenty-ninth part of the history of the
30. The thirtieth part of the history of the

31. The thirty-first part of the history of the
32. The thirty-second part of the history of the
33. The thirty-third part of the history of the
34. The thirty-fourth part of the history of the
35. The thirty-fifth part of the history of the
36. The thirty-sixth part of the history of the
37. The thirty-seventh part of the history of the
38. The thirty-eighth part of the history of the
39. The thirty-ninth part of the history of the
40. The fortieth part of the history of the
41. The forty-first part of the history of the
42. The forty-second part of the history of the
43. The forty-third part of the history of the
44. The forty-fourth part of the history of the
45. The forty-fifth part of the history of the
46. The forty-sixth part of the history of the
47. The forty-seventh part of the history of the
48. The forty-eighth part of the history of the
49. The forty-ninth part of the history of the
50. The fiftieth part of the history of the

I. INTRODUCTION - A STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

President William Howard Taft, by an Executive Order dated 2 September 1912, created Naval Petroleum Reserve No. 1, setting aside for the exclusive use of the Navy an area of 38,072.71 acres in Elk Hills, Kern County, California.¹

President Harry S. Truman, by Executive Order No. 10426 dated 16 January 1953, created an unnumbered Naval Petroleum Reserve or Naval Petroleum Reserves, to be comprised of "the lands of the continental shelf of the United States and Alaska lying seaward of the line of mean low tide and outside the inland waters and extending to the furthestmost limits of the paramount rights, full dominion, and power of the United States over lands of the continental shelf."²

The action of President Taft gave rise to apparently insignificant discussion or opposition. No national magazine of the time can be found locally that even mentions the matter. The "New York Sun" of the next day carried a story describing President Taft's activities on that Labor Day, Monday, 2 September 1912, which he spent at his summer home near Beverly, Massachusetts. The President played golf during the morning with his son Charles, and "after luncheon he spent several hours dictating letters."³ Only one of those letters was of sufficient interest nationally that the Sun's story devoted any space to it; the President named a new appointee to the position of Pension Clerk for the Eastern District of New York. Presumably, of lesser interest at the time was his establishment of the Elk Hills Naval Petroleum Reserve.

¹Code of Federal Regulations of U. S., Vol. 9, Title 34, Part 9.2, p 186.

²Federal Register, Doc. 53-734.

³N.Y. Sun, Vol. LXXX, No. 3, (3 Sept. 1912) p. 5.

1. AUTOCORRELATION - A STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Investment in the United States, by the American people, has

been increasing steadily since 1917, and it is estimated that the amount of investment in the United States in 1927 was \$1,000,000,000.

Investment in the United States, by the American people, has

been increasing steadily since 1917, and it is estimated that the amount of investment in the United States in 1927 was \$1,000,000,000.

Investment in the United States, by the American people, has been increasing steadily since 1917, and it is estimated that the amount of investment in the United States in 1927 was \$1,000,000,000.

The amount of investment in the United States, by the American people, has

been increasing steadily since 1917, and it is estimated that the amount of investment in the United States in 1927 was \$1,000,000,000.

The action of President Truman was one element in a political struggle that had raged through the courts and Congress for more than fifteen years. As such, the reactions to this setting aside of petroleum resources under the offshore areas of our nation were, in the words of the nation's press, either, "Harry Truman's last blow in a long standing fight."¹; a temporary Truman victory because, although President Eisenhower could readily rescind the action by another Executive Order, he and the Republican Congress would have to accept the odium of taking the oil away from the Navy²; or, to quote Representative Wingate Lucas, Texas Democrat, "another attempt of socialists in the Administration to perpetuate Federal control of the tidelands."³

It may be said that President Taft's Executive Order excited little significant comment, in part because no one doubted in the slightest the President's authority to so set aside the Public Lands involved, and in part because petroleum was a relatively minor item in our nation's economy in 1912.

Strangely coincidentally, just two columns away from the Sun's story on the President's activities of that day in 1912, that failed to even mention the new Naval Petroleum Reserve, there was a short article covering the production history of the United States' petroleum industry since its inception fifty-three years earlier. It was estimated therein, that, from the beginning of the oil industry in this country, over 2,500,000,000 barrels of oil had by then been produced, with a conservative valuation of nearly \$2,000,000,000.⁴ These statistics may be conveniently compared with annual United States production in 1953 alone, of 2,357,481,000 barrels with an estimated value of \$6,294,474,000.⁵

¹Time Magazine, Vol. LXI, No. 4, (26 Jan. 1953), p. 19.

²Newsweek, Vol. XLI, No. 3, (19 Jan. 1953), p. 73.

³Newsweek, Vol. XLI, No. 4, (26 Jan. 1953), p. 77.

⁴N.Y. Sun, Vol. LXXX, No. 3, (3 Sept. 1912), p. 5.

⁵World Oil, Vol. 138, No. 3, (15 Feb. 1954), p. 150.

[illegible]

1001

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and dates, which appears to be a record of some kind. The names are written in a cursive script, and the dates are in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into two columns, with names on the left and dates on the right.

Conversely, a portion of the dissent aroused by President Truman's Executive Order came from opposition to the concept that all the lands involved were under the jurisdiction of the Federal government and could, therefore, be so set aside by the President, and a further significant portion of the dissent arose from opposition to the theory that such setting aside of offshore oil lands was the best method to insure for posterity the availability of the petroleum products required in the defense of the nation.

It is the writer's intention, herein, to examine these two fields of dissent, to trace the historical development of the problem to the extent that it may feasibly be traced, and to set forth his thesis as to a recommended policy in the premises for possible guidance of personnel of the Department of the Navy.

Any reader of this paper is enjoined to consider that the opinions, conclusions and recommendations contained herein, and not specifically attributed to an official document of the Federal government, are purely products of the writer's mind and that they in no way state or reflect the policies, opinions or conclusions of the Department of the Navy or of any other facet of the Federal government.

II. THE CONTINENTAL SHELF

A. Concept of the Term

It will have been noted that President Truman twice used the term "continental shelf" in the portion of his Executive Order No. 10426 previously quoted. First the term was used as an all inclusive and undefined geographical element "...the lands of the continental shelf of the United States and Alaska.". Then came a delimitation as to the shoreward boundaries, "...seaward of the line of mean low tide and outside the inland waters..." The land edge of the continental shelf was thus quite explicitly defined. But the delimitation as to the seaward boundary is somewhat less explicit, "...extending to the furthest limits of the paramount rights, full dominion and power of the United States over the lands of the continental shelf."

The notion of a continental shelf is not one of mere fantasy, nor is it merely a created combination of words to describe a nebulous feature of the Earth's surface. The continental shelf exists under water just as surely as do the veldts, steppes, plateaus, plains, swamps and mountains of the continents and islands of the Earth's land surfaces.

Commencing about a hundred years ago, there was a marked increase in the number of oceanographic soundings and surveys made for scientific, commercial and defense purposes.

In the correlation of the data from these surveys, it was noted that, generally speaking and almost universally throughout the world, going from shore to sea, the depth of water increased gradually to a certain figure and then the sea-bottom broke more sharply down, as if an edge had been reached and passed. Further, the data showed that this edge occurred, almost world wide, at approximately the 200 metre, or 100 fathom, isobath.

II. THE CONSTITUTIONAL BASIS
 A. Principle of the Law

It will have been noted that the...
 'constitutional basis' is the basis of the...
 ground. That the law is not an...
 element... the basis of the...
 that there is a...
 line of... the...
 constitutional...
 to the...
 with...
 that... the...

The... of a...
 is... a...
 the...
 as to the...
 element...
 concerning...
 the... of...
 constitutional...

In the... of the...
 generally...
 there...
 that the...
 not...
 edge, of... or too...

Thus we have, as a literary definition of the term:

"The continental shelf is the part of the sea-bottom and the soil underneath, which is covered by shallow waters, up to a depth where the slope of the sea-bottom increases noticeably in steepness, which fringes large parts of the continents, over varying distances from the coasts."¹

With the existence of the continental shelf thus established and with the term so defined in a literary sense, we may proceed to examine and explain it more fully, geologically and geographically, and to attempt to more specifically delimit its seaward boundaries.

¹H. W. Mouton, "The Continental Shelf", (The Hague, Martinus Nijhoff, 1952), p. 1.

"The editorial staff of this journal is composed of the most prominent and able physicians of the United States, and it is their duty to present to the public the latest and most reliable information on all subjects connected with the practice of medicine."

With the assistance of the editorial staff, the following articles are published:

The journal is published weekly, on the first day of each month, except in the case of the annual supplement.

It is published for the proprietors by the American Medical Association, 535 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill.

Subscription prices: Single copies, 10 cents; Annual, \$3.00 in advance.

Entered as second-class matter, June 15, 1902, under post office number 109, at Chicago, Ill., under special permission of the post office and inspection service.

Acceptance for mailing at special rate of postage provided for in Act of October 3, 1917, authorized on July 1, 1918.

Postage paid at Chicago, Ill., and at additional mailing offices.

Copyright, 1918, by American Medical Association.

Published by the American Medical Association, 535 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill.

Printed by the American Medical Association, 535 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill.

Subscription orders, notices of change of address, and other communications should be sent to the publisher.

Advertisements should be sent to the publisher, 535 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill.

Entered as second-class matter, June 15, 1902, under post office number 109, at Chicago, Ill., under special permission of the post office and inspection service.

Acceptance for mailing at special rate of postage provided for in Act of October 3, 1917, authorized on July 1, 1918.

Postage paid at Chicago, Ill., and at additional mailing offices.

Copyright, 1918, by American Medical Association.

Published by the American Medical Association, 535 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill.

Printed by the American Medical Association, 535 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill.

Subscription orders, notices of change of address, and other communications should be sent to the publisher.

Advertisements should be sent to the publisher, 535 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill.

Entered as second-class matter, June 15, 1902, under post office number 109, at Chicago, Ill., under special permission of the post office and inspection service.

Acceptance for mailing at special rate of postage provided for in Act of October 3, 1917, authorized on July 1, 1918.

Postage paid at Chicago, Ill., and at additional mailing offices.

Copyright, 1918, by American Medical Association.

Published by the American Medical Association, 535 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill.

B. Geological Aspects

Geologically, there is too little known about the continental shelf today to permit any theory of its origin and composition to be fully accepted by all.

One theory has it that, spasmodically, a warping or tilting movement has taken place along the continental border, causing a submergence of what was formerly the margin of the continent and a simultaneous bowing-up of a marginal tract ashore and parallel with the newly formed coast line.¹

A second widely accepted theory is that the shoreline is not a hinge-line - the locus of tectonic movement - but that the continental shelf and the adjacent land differ: (1) only in that one is covered with water, (2) and because of the differing erosive agencies working on each. This theory further proposes that the significant tectonic zone is at the outer edge of the continental shelf - i.e., at the continental slope, rather than at the shore line.²

So far, neither of these theories has received universal acceptance. Proponents of each can cite numerous isolated examples of known geology that prove their theory, and in nearly each instance these examples refute the contrary theory as they prove the favored theory.

Whether the continental shelf is the direct result of the work of waves and currents - cutting at the continents and building up the shelf by

¹J.H.F. Umbgrove, "Origin of Continental Shelves," Bulletin Amer. Assoc. Petroleum Geologists, Vol. 30, No. 2, (February, 1946), pp. 249-253.

²Paul Weaver, "Variations in History of Continental Shelves," Bull. A.A.P.G., Vol. 34, No. 3 (March, 1950), pp. 351-360.

deposition of the cuttings, or whether the shelf is merely the rim of the continent - flooded by the sea because the ocean is now too large for its basin and so overflows the land, has not yet become especially important to oil companies operating in the near offshore areas.¹ So far, closely offshore geologic structures similar to producing structures just inshore have been generally productive and little further thought has been given to the matter by company executives. As exploration proceeds farther from shore, selection of the valid theory must be made. When the time of necessity arrives, additional data obtained from wells drilled in the interim will surely be available. In certain localities, to date, strata have been found offshore at elevations they would occupy under one theory and at other localities, strata correlation with strata ashore indicates proof of the other theory. Electrical and radioactivity logging have permitted adequate correlation in either event and the relatively minor elevation differences have been of little importance because most offshore drilling to date has been reasonably near the beach.

The one significant geological and topographical feature of the continental shelf that seems to be clearly established is that its extent and slope closely follow the topography of the near shore. If the near shore is steeply mountainous, as in Norway, the continental shelf is narrow and quickly drops off into the continental slope. If the near shore is flat or very gently rising, as in Louisiana, the continental shelf is extensive and of equally gentle slope. Perhaps this is best exemplified by the narrowing of the continental shelf as one proceeds westward along the Gulf Coast from the swampy flat-lands of the Texas-Louisiana border to the area of more topographic relief as the Mexican border is approached.

¹Oren F. Evans, "Shoreline Processes of the Continental Shelf," World Oil, Vol. 129, No. 2, (June, 1949), pp. 80-85.

[illegible]

The one significant geological and geographical feature of the area is the presence of the Great Salt Lake. This lake is a large, shallow body of water that covers a significant portion of the state. It is a remnant of a much larger body of water that once covered the entire region. The lake is surrounded by a low, flat plain, and its waters are salt-laden. The lake is a major source of water for the state, and it is also a major source of salt. The lake is a major attraction for tourists, and it is a major source of income for the state. The lake is a major source of water for the state, and it is also a major source of salt. The lake is a major attraction for tourists, and it is a major source of income for the state.

Oil has been found beneath the continental shelf in the Gulf, opposite proven producing areas of the Texas and Louisiana mainland. Similarly, oil has been found beneath the Pacific, opposite proven producing areas in California. To date, oil has not been found on a continental shelf completely disassociated from comparable deposits just ashore. However, neither has there been significant exploration in any such disassociated areas.

On the last day of the month, the following table is given:

The following table shows the results of the various experiments made in the month of June, and the results of the various experiments made in the month of July. The results of the various experiments made in the month of June are given in the following table, and the results of the various experiments made in the month of July are given in the following table.

The results of the various experiments made in the month of June are given in the following table, and the results of the various experiments made in the month of July are given in the following table. The results of the various experiments made in the month of June are given in the following table, and the results of the various experiments made in the month of July are given in the following table. The results of the various experiments made in the month of June are given in the following table, and the results of the various experiments made in the month of July are given in the following table.

The results of the various experiments made in the month of June are given in the following table, and the results of the various experiments made in the month of July are given in the following table. The results of the various experiments made in the month of June are given in the following table, and the results of the various experiments made in the month of July are given in the following table. The results of the various experiments made in the month of June are given in the following table, and the results of the various experiments made in the month of July are given in the following table.

The results of the various experiments made in the month of June are given in the following table, and the results of the various experiments made in the month of July are given in the following table. The results of the various experiments made in the month of June are given in the following table, and the results of the various experiments made in the month of July are given in the following table.

C. Geographical Aspects

Geographically, the continental shelf exists from pole to pole and borders both the Americas and Eurasia. Its width varies from less than a mile to more than eight hundred miles but almost always, along the rim of each continent, it exists. Umbgrove has stated, "Along the coast of Angola and off the Ivory Coast the isobath of 1,500 to 2,000 meters approaches the coast so nearly that no shelf at all is present."¹ Evans, in his previously referenced article, has this to say about the width of the continental shelf, "It is generally narrow along the west sides of both North and South America, but on the opposite side of the Pacific along the Asiatic coast, it is the widest in the world, reaching 750 to 800 miles in the Yellow Sea and in the Gulf of Siam."²

Probably the most extensive shelf in the world is that connecting Java, Sumatra, Malacca and Borneo. Other wide shelves are found south of the Behring Strait, north of Siberia and Alaska, between Australia and New Guinea and in the North Sea.

Of principal interest in this presentation is the geography of the continental shelf bordering the United States. No portion of the marine perimeter of this nation is without a shelf, but its width varies widely. Eighteen miles is the average width along the Pacific coast, but it rarely exceeds ten miles off the proven oil-bearing areas of California. Off the Gulf Coast of Texas and Louisiana, the continental shelf is considerably

¹J.H.F. Umbgrove, The Pulse of the Earth, (The Hague, 1947), p. 104.

²Oren F. Evans, "Shoreline Processes of the Continental Shelf," World Oil, Vol. 129, No. 2, (June, 1949), p. 80.

wider, varying from forty miles to a hundred and forty miles, and averaging out for the entire Gulf Coast at about fifty-nine miles. The continental shelf off the Atlantic Coast averages a width of seventy-three miles, but so far no one has found enough oil to make this widest span very significant.

the fact that the majority of the population is of African descent, and that the country is a member of the Organization of American States, the Commission has decided to refer the case to the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights for its consideration.

D. Petroleum of the United States Continental Shelf

Petroleum discoveries to date beneath the continental shelf of the United States have been principally characterized as merely extending known petroliferous areas and situations ashore.

Estimation of the potential reserves of petroleum that underlie the continental shelf must be extremely speculative in the present stage of knowledge of the shelf areas. The development of the underwater fields has hardly begun and wholly insufficient data are available to provide a basis for a really sound estimate.¹

Most petroleum discoveries to date off the coasts of Louisiana and Texas have been associated with salt domes, similar to the salt-dome fields in the coastal regions of these states. Oil fields along the California coast are generally localized in deep sedimentary basins which are oblique to the general trend of the coast line and extend westward into the sea. Some petroleum has already been found in these submarine extensions of shore geology and it may be presumed that future excellent prospects exist in them.

Comparisons of the dry land areas of the coastal states that are underlain in part by producing oil fields, with adjacent areas of the continental shelf that are inferred to be underlain by similar and productive sediments have been used as a means of arriving at figures suggestive of the order of magnitude of the reserves beneath the shelf areas.

¹ Statement of Ralph L. Miller, Chief, Fuels Branch, Geological Division, U.S. Geological Survey; Hearings before U.S. Senate Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs, on S.J. Res. 13 etc., 24 Feb. 1953, pp. 581-584.

... of the

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

Utilizing this geological extrapolation, because there are no better data, the U. S. Geological Survey has estimated petroleum reserves of the continental shelf off the coasts of Texas and Louisiana to approximate 13 billion barrels. Their comparable estimate for the continental shelf off the coast of California is 2 billion barrels.¹

Available information does not indicate, for the present, that reserves of any significant magnitude may be feasibly postulated for other areas of the continental shelf bordering the United States.

¹Ibid., pp. 532, 533.

III. INTERNATIONAL LAW CONCERNING NATIONAL LIMITS

A. Early History, 1494 - 1702

1. Treaty of Tordesillas - 1494

The concept of territorial waters in the oceans is a relatively recent origin. Although older claims governing national interests in the oceans of the world have at times been quite extensive, the actual rights exercised under such claims have been limited in extent.¹

With the rise of the great maritime nations at the end of the Middle Ages, came one of the first such published claims over the waters of the oceans. Pope Alexander VI divided oceans and territories of the New Worlds between Spain and Portugal and his decision was confirmed by the Treaty of Tordesillas of 7 June 1494.

By this Treaty, Spain asserted dominion over and exclusive rights to navigate the Pacific, the Gulf of Mexico and the Western Atlantic. Portugal's claims extended to the Atlantic south of Morocco and covered the Indian Ocean. England and Holland, however, were unwilling to accept such a division and answered the Iberian decrees with deadly efficient forays by Drake, Cavendish and their cohorts. Thus history prepared a setting for a determination under such international law as existed at the time as to the actual extent of sovereignty of nations over the seas.

2. Bynkershoek's Doctrine, 1702

During the time when some nations were asserting a wide maritime dominion, and other nations were opposing such pretensions, there was a

¹M. W. Mouton, op. cit., p. 188.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS

1. THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS

The University of Chicago Press is a not-for-profit organization. It is a member of the Association of American Universities. The University of Chicago Press is a not-for-profit organization. It is a member of the Association of American Universities. The University of Chicago Press is a not-for-profit organization. It is a member of the Association of American Universities.

The University of Chicago Press is a not-for-profit organization. It is a member of the Association of American Universities. The University of Chicago Press is a not-for-profit organization. It is a member of the Association of American Universities. The University of Chicago Press is a not-for-profit organization. It is a member of the Association of American Universities.

The University of Chicago Press is a not-for-profit organization. It is a member of the Association of American Universities. The University of Chicago Press is a not-for-profit organization. It is a member of the Association of American Universities. The University of Chicago Press is a not-for-profit organization. It is a member of the Association of American Universities.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS

The University of Chicago Press is a not-for-profit organization. It is a member of the Association of American Universities. The University of Chicago Press is a not-for-profit organization. It is a member of the Association of American Universities. The University of Chicago Press is a not-for-profit organization. It is a member of the Association of American Universities.

general recognition that every maritime State was entitled to exercise jurisdiction over some extent of the neighboring sea.¹

A new doctrine for the measurement of a nation's dominion in the coastal sea was first stated in 1702 by Bynkershoek. Effectiveness of occupation and control of the sea was the basis of his position; the sea, to the distance that a cannon shot could reach and thereby control, might be appropriated.²

Thus were territorial waters conceived, and the extent of a nation's sovereignty over her contiguous seas to be determined.

3. Galiani's Doctrine, 1782

Galiani, an Italian jurist, writing in 1782, announced the range of an "international-law" cannon as three miles. "Hence that distance, measured from the low water mark, became common place among authors for the width of the littoral sea, and we may say that the agreement on it as a minimum is universal; no State claims less."³

There is ample evidence that no cannon of that time had a range even approaching three miles - or its approximate equivalent of one league -⁴ but the three-mile-limit was popularly accepted and came down through the intervening years as the limit most generally accepted and adhered to by the majority of the maritime nations of the world.

¹M.W. Mouton, op. cit., p. 193.

²Ernest R. Bartley, "The Tidelands Oil Controversy," (Univ. of Texas Press, Austin, 1953), p. 9.

³M.W. Mouton, op. cit., p. 195.

⁴Ibid., pp. 195-199.

*8000, 6000000 of production are used; 6000000 units per year. 1997-1998

the aliphatic chain of the polymer is not involved in the reaction.

© 2011 Blackwell Publishing Ltd *Journal of Internal Medicine* 270: 103–110

all of your old mailboxes and to start with one and get to having two mailboxes

5. *Public Information*

There are several reasons why the results of this study are important. First, the study shows that the use of a single, standardized questionnaire is not sufficient to capture the complexity of the phenomenon of social capital. Second, the study shows that the use of multiple, tailored questionnaires is a more effective way to capture the complexity of the phenomenon. Third, the study shows that the use of a single, standardized questionnaire is not sufficient to capture the complexity of the phenomenon of social capital. Fourth, the study shows that the use of multiple, tailored questionnaires is a more effective way to capture the complexity of the phenomenon. Fifth, the study shows that the use of a single, standardized questionnaire is not sufficient to capture the complexity of the phenomenon of social capital. Sixth, the study shows that the use of multiple, tailored questionnaires is a more effective way to capture the complexity of the phenomenon. Seventh, the study shows that the use of a single, standardized questionnaire is not sufficient to capture the complexity of the phenomenon of social capital. Eighth, the study shows that the use of multiple, tailored questionnaires is a more effective way to capture the complexity of the phenomenon. Ninth, the study shows that the use of a single, standardized questionnaire is not sufficient to capture the complexity of the phenomenon of social capital. Tenth, the study shows that the use of multiple, tailored questionnaires is a more effective way to capture the complexity of the phenomenon.

Downloaded from <http://ajphaphapublications.sagepub.com/> at 10:06 10 May 2015

2000-2001, 2001-2002, 2002-2003, 2003-2004, 2004-2005, 2005-2006, 2006-2007, 2007-2008, 2008-2009, 2009-2010, 2010-2011, 2011-2012, 2012-2013, 2013-2014, 2014-2015, 2015-2016, 2016-2017, 2017-2018, 2018-2019, 2019-2020, 2020-2021, 2021-2022, 2022-2023, 2023-2024, 2024-2025, 2025-2026, 2026-2027, 2027-2028, 2028-2029, 2029-2030, 2030-2031, 2031-2032, 2032-2033, 2033-2034, 2034-2035, 2035-2036, 2036-2037, 2037-2038, 2038-2039, 2039-2040, 2040-2041, 2041-2042, 2042-2043, 2043-2044, 2044-2045, 2045-2046, 2046-2047, 2047-2048, 2048-2049, 2049-2050, 2050-2051, 2051-2052, 2052-2053, 2053-2054, 2054-2055, 2055-2056, 2056-2057, 2057-2058, 2058-2059, 2059-2060, 2060-2061, 2061-2062, 2062-2063, 2063-2064, 2064-2065, 2065-2066, 2066-2067, 2067-2068, 2068-2069, 2069-2070, 2070-2071, 2071-2072, 2072-2073, 2073-2074, 2074-2075, 2075-2076, 2076-2077, 2077-2078, 2078-2079, 2079-2080, 2080-2081, 2081-2082, 2082-2083, 2083-2084, 2084-2085, 2085-2086, 2086-2087, 2087-2088, 2088-2089, 2089-2090, 2090-2091, 2091-2092, 2092-2093, 2093-2094, 2094-2095, 2095-2096, 2096-2097, 2097-2098, 2098-2099, 2099-2100, 2100-2101, 2101-2102, 2102-2103, 2103-2104, 2104-2105, 2105-2106, 2106-2107, 2107-2108, 2108-2109, 2109-2110, 2110-2111, 2111-2112, 2112-2113, 2113-2114, 2114-2115, 2115-2116, 2116-2117, 2117-2118, 2118-2119, 2119-2120, 2120-2121, 2121-2122, 2122-2123, 2123-2124, 2124-2125, 2125-2126, 2126-2127, 2127-2128, 2128-2129, 2129-2130, 2130-2131, 2131-2132, 2132-2133, 2133-2134, 2134-2135, 2135-2136, 2136-2137, 2137-2138, 2138-2139, 2139-2140, 2140-2141, 2141-2142, 2142-2143, 2143-2144, 2144-2145, 2145-2146, 2146-2147, 2147-2148, 2148-2149, 2149-2150, 2150-2151, 2151-2152, 2152-2153, 2153-2154, 2154-2155, 2155-2156, 2156-2157, 2157-2158, 2158-2159, 2159-2160, 2160-2161, 2161-2162, 2162-2163, 2163-2164, 2164-2165, 2165-2166, 2166-2167, 2167-2168, 2168-2169, 2169-2170, 2170-2171, 2171-2172, 2172-2173, 2173-2174, 2174-2175, 2175-2176, 2176-2177, 2177-2178, 2178-2179, 2179-2180, 2180-2181, 2181-2182, 2182-2183, 2183-2184, 2184-2185, 2185-2186, 2186-2187, 2187-2188, 2188-2189, 2189-2190, 2190-2191, 2191-2192, 2192-2193, 2193-2194, 2194-2195, 2195-2196, 2196-2197, 2197-2198, 2198-2199, 2199-2200, 2200-2201, 2201-2202, 2202-2203, 2203-2204, 2204-2205, 2205-2206, 2206-2207, 2207-2208, 2208-2209, 2209-2210, 2210-2211, 2211-2212, 2212-2213, 2213-2214, 2214-2215, 2215-2216, 2216-2217, 2217-2218, 2218-2219, 2219-2220, 2220-2221, 2221-2222, 2222-2223, 2223-2224, 2224-2225, 2225-2226, 2226-2227, 2227-2228, 2228-2229, 2229-2230, 2230-2231, 2231-2232, 2232-2233, 2233-2234, 2234-2235, 2235-2236, 2236-2237, 2237-2238, 2238-2239, 2239-2240, 2240-2241, 2241-2242, 2242-2243, 2243-2244, 2244-2245, 2245-2246, 2246-2247, 2247-2248, 2248-2249, 2249-2250, 2250-2251, 2251-2252, 2252-2253, 2253-2254, 2254-2255, 2255-2256, 2256-2257, 2257-2258, 2258-2259, 2259-2260, 2260-2261, 2261-2262, 2262-2263, 2263-2264, 2264-2265, 2265-2266, 2266-2267, 2267-2268, 2268-2269, 2269-2270, 2270-2271, 2271-2272, 2272-2273, 2273-2274, 2274-2275, 2275-2276, 2276-2277, 2277-2278, 2278-2279, 2279-2280, 2280-2281, 2281-2282, 2282-2283, 2283-2284, 2284-2285, 2285-2286, 2286-2287, 2287-2288, 2288-2289, 2289-2290, 2290-2291, 2291-2292, 2292-2293, 2293-2294, 2294-2295, 2295-2296, 2296-2297, 2297-2298, 2298-2299, 2299-2300, 2300-2301, 2301-2302, 2302-2303, 2303-2304, 2304-2305, 2305-2306, 2306-2307, 2307-2308, 2308-2309, 2309-2310, 2310-2311, 2311-2312, 2312-2313, 2313-2314, 2314-2315, 2315-2316, 2316-2317, 2317-2318, 2318-2319, 2319-2320, 2320-2321, 2321-2322, 2322-2323, 2323-2324, 2324-2325, 2325-2326, 2326-2327, 2327-2328, 2328-2329, 2329-2330, 2330-2331, 2331-2332, 2332-2333, 2333-2334, 2334-2335, 2335-2336, 2336-2337, 2337-2338, 2338-2339, 2339-2340, 2340-2341, 2341-2342, 2342-2343, 2343-2344, 2344-2345, 2345-2346, 2346-2347, 2347-2348, 2348-2349, 2349-2350, 2350-2351, 2351-2352, 2352-2353, 2353-2354, 2354-2355, 2355-2356, 2356-2357, 2357-2358, 2358-2359, 2359-2360, 2360-2361, 2361-2362, 2362-2363, 2363-2364, 2364-2365, 2365-2366, 2366-2367, 2367-2368, 2368-2369, 2369-2370, 2370-2371, 2371-2372, 23

from the law enforcement, business owners, and citizens for the right to

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. NO PART OF THIS PUBLICATION MAY BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPYING, RECORDING, OR BY ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, WITHOUT PERMISSION IN WRITING FROM THE PUBLISHER.

It was also noted that the

There is no doubt that the world is a very different place than it was a few years ago.

^d - amount due to shareholders' subscriptions and on - calls within subscription period

[illegible]

Information may be lost or incorrectly recorded in the following cases:

*Direct call to smaller business will be welcome

1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2645, 2646, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2650, 2651, 2652, 2653, 2654, 2655, 2656, 2657, 2658, 2659, 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2666, 2667, 2668, 2669, 2670, 2671, 2672, 2673, 2674, 2675, 26

1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2645, 2646, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2650, 2651, 2652, 2653, 2654, 2655, 2656, 2657, 2658, 2659, 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2666, 2667, 2668, 2669, 2670, 2671, 2672, 2673, 2674, 2675, 2676, 2677, 2678, 26

222 32 1000000 1.00

1994-1995

B. United States' History, 1776-1944

The lands of what were the thirteen original states of the United States had been granted to the earlier colonies as grants from the King of England. The only source of legal title to the soil was that claimed by the Crown of England by right of discovery.¹ English writers and courts generally upheld the sovereignty and jurisdiction of the British Crown over the marginal sea,² to the extent of the "three-mile-limit."

Charters granted to the original British Colonies might be considered as likely sources for some specific ruling in the matter, but they are all distressingly vague, although it is true that grants were made that included, "all havens, ports, rivers, waters, fishings, mines and minerals, as well royal as other mines etc., and all and singular other commodities, jurisdictions, royalties, privileges, franchises and pre-eminences, both within the said tract of land upon the main and also within the islands and seas adjoining."³

The doctrine of English jurisprudence to the effect that the Crown owned marginal seas to the extent of three miles out from the low-tide mark, coupled with the language of the Crown Charters to the Colonies, leads to the conclusion that the Colonies received title to the three-mile marginal sea belt.

With the creation of the Republic, it was construed that territorial sovereignty passed from the new states to the new Federal government. Early decisions of the United States courts apparently accepted the concept that

¹Ernest R. Bartley, op. cit., p. 18.

²Ibid., pp. 10-19.

³Grant of 3 November 1620 of James I to the Plymouth Company, as quoted by Ernest R. Bartley, op. cit., p. 18.

doi:10.1017/S002229240000199

[illegible]

Copyright © 2004 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

the field of view of the camera and the camera is mounted on a tripod. The camera is pointed at the target and the camera is focused on the target. The camera is then triggered and the photograph is taken. The photograph is then developed and the target is identified. The target is then marked with a red dot and the target is then marked with a red dot. The target is then marked with a red dot and the target is then marked with a red dot.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DO hereby certify that
the within and foregoing is a true and correct
copy of the original as the same appears
on the records of the Department of the Interior
at Washington, D. C.

It is the intention of the Republic, it was announced that President Roosevelt would send the new United States Navy to the Pacific Ocean, and that the United States Navy would be ready to meet the challenge of the new world.

• 2000 •

94-02 900-4102

1. The first of these is the fact that the Commission has not yet received any information from the Government of the United States regarding the results of its investigation of the activities of the American Friends Service Committee in the Philippines.

this marginal belt in the seas was a portion of the territory of the nation and also that such a territorial concept was a reflection of practice in international law. Chief Justice John Marshall, in 1804, wrote in a Supreme Court decision:

"The authority of a nation, within its own territory, is absolute and exclusive. The seizure of a vessel, within range of its cannon, by a foreign force, is an invasion of that territory, and is a hostile act which is its duty to repel."¹

In 1812, Justice Story in the Case of The Ann, reiterated the earlier statement by Chief Justice Marshall, saying:

"All the writers upon public law agree that every nation has exclusive jurisdiction to the distance of a cannon shot, or marine league, over the waters adjacent to its shores ... and this doctrine has been recognized by the Supreme Court of the United States. Indeed such waters are considered as a part of the territory of the sovereign."²

With the doctrine thus recognized and established by our Supreme Court, that sovereignty of the United States extended three miles off shore, it was natural that, in our international relations, we should utilize this doctrine. This we did in the 1903 Treaty with Panama, creating the Panama Canal Zone. Article II of that Treaty states:

"The Republic of Panama grants to the United States in perpetuity the use, occupation and control of a zone of land and land under water for the construction, maintenance, operation, sanitation and protection of said Canal of the width of ten miles extending to the distance of five miles on each side of the center line of the Canal to be constructed; the said zone beginning in the Caribbean Sea three marine miles from mean low water mark and extending to and across the Isthmus of Panama into the Pacific Ocean to a distance of three marine miles from mean low water mark."³

¹2 Cranch 187, 234 (Church vs. Hubbard) as quoted by Ernest R. Bartley, op. cit., p. 23.

²Fed. Cases No. 397 (1812).

³W. M. Malloy, Treaties, Conventions, International Acts, Protocols, and Agreements of the United States, (Govt. Printing Office, Washington, 1910) Vol. II, p. 1350.

The original bill in the House was a measure to amend the
 and also that such a bill should be a bill to amend the
 International law. The House passed the bill in a House
 vote of 217-197.

The bill was a measure to amend the
 and also that such a bill should be a bill to amend the
 International law. The House passed the bill in a House
 vote of 217-197.

In 1911, the House passed the bill in a House
 vote of 217-197.

All the bills were passed in the House
 and also that such a bill should be a bill to amend the
 International law. The House passed the bill in a House
 vote of 217-197.

The House passed the bill in a House
 vote of 217-197.

The House passed the bill in a House
 vote of 217-197.

The House passed the bill in a House
 vote of 217-197.

During the reign of prohibition, this government entered into a series of "rum treaties" designed to facilitate the enforcement of the Volstead Act. As an illustration, we have the treaty with Great Britain, which declared that while three marine miles constituted the proper limit of territorial waters, Great Britain would not object to the boarding of British vessels by United States officials in search of illegal alcoholic beverages, provided such search was conducted within an hour's sailing time of the American coast.¹

Some sixteen of these "rum treaties" were negotiated between the United States and other nations² and thus the century old adherence to a three-mile-limit for all purposes was broken for a specific purpose and the stage was set for even further extension of our claims for other purposes.

¹43 U.S. Stat. 1761 (1924).

²Ernest R. Bartley, op. cit., p. 21.

1. The first of these is the fact that the Commission has not yet received any information from the Government of the United States regarding the activities of the Committee for the Liberation of the Americas (CLA) in the United States.

There are two main types of \mathcal{H} -invariant measures on \mathcal{H} . The first is the Haar measure, which is the unique (up to a constant factor) measure that is invariant under left translations. The second is the Plancherel measure, which is the unique (up to a constant factor) measure that is invariant under right translations. The Haar measure is denoted by $d\mu$ and the Plancherel measure is denoted by $d\nu$.

C. Expansion of Prior Concept, 1945-1953

1. U.S. Presidential Proclamation No. 2667, 1945

Although there had not been world-wide, complete concurrence with the United States' concept that international law provided generally for a three-mile-limit of territorial sovereignty, neither were there, until 1945, significant claims by any nations that such sovereignty extended to any grossly greater distances, nor were their claims, when they did extend beyond the three-mile-limit, defined in any language other than expressions of linear measure offshore. A jurisdictional claim of four miles had been expressed by Scandinavian countries prior to World War I, while Spain and certain of her colonies and former colonies laid claim to "three marine leagues" of their littoral seas, rather than the more generally accepted "three marine miles."¹

In recent times, the first of many unilateral or bilateral declarative attempts to seriously extend the jurisdiction of nations over their marginal seas occurred in the Treaty between the United Kingdom and Venezuela of 26 February 1942. This instrument divided jurisdiction over the Gulf of Paria, which lies between the British island of Trinidad and the South American mainland coast of Venezuela. It is significant that in this treaty, the term "submarine areas of the Gulf of Paria" was defined as, "the sea-bed and subsoil outside of the territorial waters of the High Contracting Parties."² Since the entire Gulf of Paria was divided, no attempt was made to define outer limits of jurisdiction, but a scope beyond territorial waters was acknowledged.

¹Ernest R. Bartley, *op. cit.*, p. 21.

²M. W. Mouton, *op. cit.*, p. 250.

The initial national claim of jurisdiction over marginal sea areas covering the entire continental shelf, for the avowed purpose of utilizing but conserving the mineral resources thereof, was that made by the President of the United States, in his Proclamation No. 2667 of 28 September 1945, quoted below:

"Whereas the Government of the United States of America, aware of the long range world-wide need for new resources of petroleum and other minerals, holds the view that efforts to discover and make available new supplies of these resources should be encouraged; and

"Whereas its competent experts are of the opinion that such resources underlie many parts of the continental shelf off the coasts of the United States of America, and that with modern technological progress their utilization is already practicable or will become so at an early date; and

"Whereas recognized jurisdiction over these resources is required in the interest of their conservation and prudent utilization when and as development is undertaken; and

"Whereas it is the view of the Government of the United States that the exercise of jurisdiction over the natural resources of the subsoil and sea bed of the continental shelf by the contiguous nation is reasonable and just, since the effectiveness of measures to utilize or conserve these resources would be contingent upon cooperation and protection from the shore, since the continental shelf may be regarded as an extension of the land-mass of the coastal nation and thus naturally appurtenant to it, since these resources frequently form a seaward extension of a pool or deposit lying within the territory, and since self-protection compels the coastal nation to keep close watch over activities off its shores which are of the nature necessary for utilization of these resources;

"NOW, THEREFORE, I, HARRY S. TRUMAN, President of the United States of America, do hereby proclaim the following policy of the United States of America with respect to the natural resources of the subsoil and sea bed of the continental shelf.

"Having concern for the urgency of conserving and prudently utilizing its natural resources, the Government of the United States regards the natural

[illegible]

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637
U.S.A.
TEL: (312) 937-1234
FAX: (312) 937-1234

But in the changes necessary and essential
to give more attention to the work of the
Police and to give it the necessary
improvement under the law, subject to the
of the Police and the necessary changes
the law must be in the hands of the

about 1000-1500 ft. above the sea level.
The water is very pure and is very soft.
The water is very pure and is very soft.
The water is very pure and is very soft.

[illegible]

These individuals will be paid and have

with numerous friends and possible relatives has
 helped the company avoid further loss in investment

resources of the subsoil and sea bed of the continental shelf beneath the high seas but contiguous to the coasts of the United States as appertaining to the United States, subject to its jurisdiction and control. In cases where the continental shelf extends to the shores of another State, or is shared with an adjacent state, the boundary shall be determined by the United States and the State concerned in accordance with equitable principles. The character as high seas of the waters above the continental shelf and the right to their free and unimpeded navigation are in no way thus affected."¹

2. Other Nations' Similar Action Since 1945

Promptly after the publication of the above quoted United States' Presidential Proclamation, other nations hastened to assert comparable claims over the mineral resources of their marginal seas. Language in the various national declarations and proclamations has differed slightly, but their intent has been uniformly to match the claims of the United States as closely as their own geography would permit.

The Mexican Presidential Declaration was first to follow the lead taken by the United States, having been issued on 29 October 1945. This Mexican Declaration defines the continental shelf as being "delimited by a two-hundred metre isobath," indicates the presence of minerals and continues:

"For these reasons, the Government of the Republic claims the whole continental shelf adjacent to its coasts and all and every one of the national riches, known or still to be discovered, which are found on it...."²

There then followed in rapid succession similar declarations by Argentina, Chile, Peru, Costa Rica, Honduras, Panama, El Salvador, Ecuador, Guatemala, Nicaragua, Brazil, the Philippine Republic, Saudi Arabia, Iran, Pakistan and the United Kingdom jointly with, and on the part of, the local governments of Bahrain, Abu Dhabi, Ajman, Dubai, Kuwait, Qatar, Ras Al Khaimah,

¹Appendixes of Hearings on S.J. Res. 13, et al, op. cit., p. 1181.

²M. W. Mouton, op. cit., p. 253.

The following is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various positions in the Department of the Interior, under the authority of the President, and the date of their appointment:

and has been entirely to reach the class of the United States as closely as their own country could reach.

The module provides information on how to follow the lead
taken by the United States, British and French in 1940-41. This
module includes information on the military situation at the time of the
invasion of Poland and the subsequent events leading up to the outbreak of war.

[illegible]

There are three main types of ...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...

THESE THÈSES ONT ÉTÉ PRÉSENTÉES À LA FACULTÉ DE MÉDECINE DE LA UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTREAL

Sharjah, Umm Al Qaiwain, the Bahamas, British Honduras, Jamaica, Falkland Islands, and Trinidad and Tobago. By the end of 1950, all the above governments had asserted claim to the mineral resources of their continental shelf. When two such claims would impinge because all the sea between the two countries was on the continental shelf, the declarations emulated the language of the United States' declaration by laying claim to the shelf to an extent to be delimited by future treaties.¹

Up to this point, little vocal international opposition to such proclamations was registered. However, on 19 January 1952, the President of the Republic of Korea issued a comparable edict and the Japanese Government quickly rose in opposition, since that country interpreted the Korean declaration as interfering with fishing rights on the high seas.² More recent news dispatches from the Far East indicate continuing disagreement in the premises between these two countries.

In the Judgment of the International Court of Justice, of 18 December 1951, concerning the Anglo-Norwegian Fisheries Case but applicable by comparability to mineral resources beneath the seas, are found the following pertinent phrases:

"It is a confirmation of the general principle of International Law, that rights and obligations cannot be altered unilaterally by passing domestic legislation.

"...we also discussed whether law existed on this subject and came to the conclusion that it did not.

...."Our thesis and our often repeated urge that a Conference be convened to draft a Convention is strengthened..."³

¹W. W. Mouton, op. cit., pp. 253-260.

²Ibid., pp. 319-321.

³W. W. Mouton, op. cit., p. 330.

It may therefore be concluded that, for our purposes, the outer edge of the continental shelf has been established by the declarations of numerous nations at the 100 fathom line, approximately equivalent to the 200 metre isobath.

It may further be concluded that the United States' claim to the petroleum resources of the continental shelf off its shores is not clearly allowed by existing international law, but that it may be considered to be accepted - with the possible exception of areas near our northern border - because all possible competing neighboring nations to the south of us have recognized our Presidential Proclamation by themselves issuing comparable edicts.

It was therefore in accordance with the old practice, the other side
of the mountain wall was established by the same method as before
and so close to the old stone line, consequently situated at the end
of the mountain.

It was further by accident that the other side of the
mountain was situated at the same place (and) all the stones in the clearing
were taken away (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
- and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
because all the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
were taken away (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones

and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones

and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones

and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones

and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones

and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones
and the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones (and) the stones

IV. INTERSTATE CLAIMS AND COURT DECISIONS TO 1938

A. The Original States

Earlier herein, there was discussed the matter of the acquisition of title to their marginal seas from the British Crown by the thirteen original states. Following the American Revolution, persons holding land under Crown grants generally continued in possession of the land where the grants were found to be valid. At the time of the Revolution, therefore, each state succeeded to the rights or title of the Crown in the tidewaters within its territorial limits.¹

Neither under the Articles of Confederation, nor under the Constitution of the United States, was mention made of the cession of these territorial rights to the national government. Article IX of the Articles of Confederation specifically provided that no "State shall be deprived of territory for the benefit of the United States."² The Constitution itself recognizes that the national government may acquire land, specifically, (1) by cession by the states of an area not to exceed ten miles square for a seat of government³ and (2) by purchase of land after gaining consent of the state or states concerned.⁴ To these, the courts have, by recognition, added two other means by which the federal government may acquire title to land within the borders of states. First, in the exercise of the power of eminent domain it is not

¹Ernest R. Bartley, op. cit., pp 31, 32.

²Article IX, par. 2, Articles of Confederation, as quoted in, Francis W. Thorpe, "American Charters, Constitutions, and Organic Laws", (U. S. Govt. Ptg. Off., Washington, 1909) Vol. I, p. 13.

³Art. 1, Sec. 8, Constitution of the United States, ibid., p. 22.

⁴Art. 1, Sec. 8, Constitution of the United States, ibid., p. 22.

necessary that the Federal government have prior consent of the state concerned¹; second, in the creation of new states out of territory of the United States, the Federal government may reserve land for its own use by the inclusion of a land description in the act admitting the state to the Union.² It is agreed that the Federal government only in isolated specific instances acquired rights to offshore submerged lands in any of these four ways.³

For a valid explanation of the general acquisition by the Federal government of the offshore territorial rights of the thirteen original states, it is necessary to re-enter the realm of international law, remembering that any sovereignty over the marginal sea belt is in itself dependent on international, rather than national acceptance. This argument was particularly well stated in 1938 by Leslie McNemar, senior attorney in the Office of the Judge Advocate General of the Navy, who declared:

"It must be remembered, however, that upon the ratification of the Federal Constitution, the international entity of the several Thirteen Original Colonies ceased and became merged in the international entity of the United States of America and that the individual States of the United States were no longer sovereign within the meaning of international law. Thus when reference is made in international law to the territorial waters of a State extending at least a marine league or three geographic miles from the shore line, it is the shore line of the United States that is intended and not the shore line of one of the municipal States thereof, such as New York, Texas or California."⁴

Mr. McNemar was well supported in his position by the frequently quoted statement of Rufus King in the Philadelphia Convention from which evolved our Federal Constitution:

¹Kohl v. United States, 91 U. S. 367 (1876); United States v. Gettysburg R. Co., 160 U. S. 663 (1896).

²Fort Leavenworth R. Co. v. Lowe, 114 U. S. 525 (1885).

³Adapted from a general statement by Ernest R. Bartley, *op. cit.*, p. 36, who seems to forget at this point in his discussion the numerous lighthouses, naval yards, and coast defense installations of the Federal government.

⁴Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 83 and 92, (U. S. Govt. Ptg. Office, Washington, 1939) p. 76.

[illegible][illegible]

My interest was well expressed in the position of the President.

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the President of the United States to the Congress, dated January 3, 1862. It is a message of condolence to the people of the State of California, who have recently suffered from a severe earthquake. The President expresses his sympathy for the victims and offers his assistance in rebuilding the state.

"The states were not 'sovereign' in the sense contended for by some. They did not possess the peculiar features of sovereignty - they could not make war, nor peace, nor alliances, nor treaties. Considering them as political beings, they were dumb, for they could not speak to any foreign sovereign whatever. They were deaf, for they could not hear any proposition from such sovereign."¹

Also, in his position, Mr. McHear was supporting a then recent decision of the United States Supreme Court in which Justice Sutherland took pains to demonstrate that certain powers of external sovereignty passed directly from the crown to the "incipient Union" and had not become vested enroute in the states. Judge Sutherland also placed great stress on the above quoted Rufus King statement.²

Insofar as petroleum itself is concerned, its presence offshore from any of the thirteen original states has not yet been disclosed in commercially exploitable quantities and therefore no specific argument has been presented with respect to its ownership. It is considered that it may be concluded that the Federal government, rather than the states, owned the bed of the sea from the low-water mark out to the three-mile limit offshore from at least thirteen states.

¹5 Elliot's Debates 212 as quoted by Ernest R. Bartley, op. cit., p. 30.
²299 U. S. 306 (1936).

The above are the results of the work done
for the year 1911. The work done for the year 1912
will be ready in the next few days. The work done
for the year 1913 will be ready in the next few days.
The work done for the year 1914 will be ready in the next few days.

It is to be noted that the work done for the year 1911

was done in the month of January. The work done for the year 1912

was done in the month of February. The work done for the year 1913

was done in the month of March. The work done for the year 1914

was done in the month of April. The work done for the year 1915

was done in the month of May. The work done for the year 1916

was done in the month of June. The work done for the year 1917

was done in the month of July. The work done for the year 1918

was done in the month of August. The work done for the year 1919

was done in the month of September. The work done for the year 1920

was done in the month of October. The work done for the year 1921

was done in the month of November. The work done for the year 1922

was done in the month of December.

The work done for the year 1923 will be ready in the next few days.

The work done for the year 1924 will be ready in the next few days.

The work done for the year 1925 will be ready in the next few days.

The work done for the year 1926 will be ready in the next few days.

The work done for the year 1927 will be ready in the next few days.

The work done for the year 1928 will be ready in the next few days.

The work done for the year 1929 will be ready in the next few days.

The work done for the year 1930 will be ready in the next few days.

The work done for the year 1931 will be ready in the next few days.

The work done for the year 1932 will be ready in the next few days.

The work done for the year 1933 will be ready in the next few days.

The work done for the year 1934 will be ready in the next few days.

The work done for the year 1935 will be ready in the next few days.

B. Later States

1. Non-controversial States

Since the states entering the Union subsequent to its original establishment joined under varying circumstances, and because those particular states off which petroleum is now being produced all had differing colonial or independent nation backgrounds, each state should perhaps be treated separately. However, because significant quantities of petroleum have been found, or are at present expected, offshore from only three of our forty-eight states, those three—Louisiana, California and Texas—will be discussed in more or less detail while the other later coastal states will be quickly dealt with as a group.

In the preceding section it was concluded that the Federal government held title to the submerged lands beyond the low-water mark, but it is significant that this conclusion was based largely on a Supreme Court decision of 1936, some one hundred and sixty years after the original colonies initially declared their independence from Great Britain. Such a conclusion would not have been quite so valid a hundred years ago, for as early as 1840, the Supreme Court held that the Federal government could not dispose of lands beneath the waters of Mobile Bay contrary to the desires of the state of Alabama, stating, in part: "...the territorial limits of Alabama have extended all her sovereign powers into the sea..."¹

This decision, coupled with the universally used provision of accession acts that new states coming into the Union entered on an "equal footing" with the original states, led to the assumption that the territorial limits of the sovereign powers of all the coastal states extended three miles into the sea

¹ 3 How. 230 as quoted by Ernest R. Bartley, op. cit., p. 47.

Salaries of teaching staffs above and below the national average and beyond 5

Copyright © 2004 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

-forty-

© 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd, *Journal of Internal Medicine* 250: 103–110

to make an "open house" with the public and the press.

In the reviewed studies it was concluded that the Federal Government

© 2004 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, *Journal of Internal Medicine* 255: 111–118

© 2000 Blackwell Science Ltd *Journal of Internal Medicine* 247: 395–401

ON 15th, 1997, the author was invited to give a paper at the 1997 Annual Meeting of the American Society of Human Genetics, held in Denver, Colorado, USA. The paper was presented on 16th, 1997, at 10:00 AM, in the Grand Ballroom of the Denver Convention Center. The paper was presented by the author, who was accompanied by his wife, who was also a member of the Society. The paper was presented in the form of a lecture, and was well received by the audience. The author was very pleased to have the opportunity to present his paper at this important meeting, and to have the chance to meet and interact with other members of the Society. The paper was presented in the form of a lecture, and was well received by the audience. The author was very pleased to have the opportunity to present his paper at this important meeting, and to have the chance to meet and interact with other members of the Society.

© 2004 Blackwell Publishing Ltd *Journal of Internal Medicine* 255: 103–110

Copyright © 2003 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Downloaded At: 11:53 11 September 2009

It is important to note that the results of this study are not generalizable to all children.

...the theoretical limits of the rate

⁴...and will not require any more of its labor.

This decision would also be consistent with the objectives of the

© 2000 Blackwell Science Ltd *Journal of Internal Medicine* 247: 399–406

and to avoid interference with the measurement of the system, the system is not

Downloaded from <http://ajphaphysoc.phapublications.org/> on November 10, 2015

* 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2645, 2646, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2650, 2651, 2652, 2653, 2654, 2655, 2656, 2657, 2658, 2659, 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2666, 2667, 2668, 2669, 2670, 2671, 2672, 2673, 2674, 2675, 2

and such an assumption existed until it was unseated in 1936 by the previously quoted decision written by Justice Sutherland.

Proof of this may be found in the actions of the executive departments of the Federal government which had, prior to 1936, requested and received from the states bordering on the oceans some fifteen grants of land, leases or easements involving areas located below low-water mark in the open sea.¹

Congressional concurrence, prior to 1937, in the doctrine of state ownership, is also indicated. "No evidence can be found in Congressional hearings or debates prior to that date which would offer any support to a view that the marginal sea was held in property by the United States, rather than the individual states."²

2. Louisiana

Although Louisiana is one of the three states off whose coasts considerable quantities of petroleum are now being produced, her claim to the submerged soils in the marginal belt of the Gulf of Mexico is not significantly different from that of most of the other states that have been admitted to the Union since 1787.

Originally claimed, in 1682, by LaSalle in the name of the King of France, the Mississippi Valley area remained a French possession until ceded to Spain by Louis XIV after the Treaty of Paris in 1763. The area was retroceded to France in 1800 and on 30 April 1803, in violation of her agreement not to transfer Louisiana to other any other power, France sold the area to the United States for eighty million francs.

¹United States brief in United States v. California, 332 U. S. 19 (1947) p. 227, ff

²Ernest R. Bartley, op. cit., p. 95.

and that an examination should be made of the records in 1900 for the purpose

of determining whether or not the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

It will be seen from the above that the records are correct.

On 8 April 1812, Louisiana was admitted to the Union by an Act of Congress that declared:

"The said State shall be one, and is hereby declared to be one of the United States of America, and admitted to the Union on an equal footing with the original states, in all respects whatever, by the name and title of the State of Louisiana."¹

Here we have the "equal footing" clause in its usual form, but insofar as the marginal seas are concerned, it must be recognized that in this instance the clause is purely a formal one. Rather than the usual "three-mile-limit", the prior Act of Congress enabling the people of Louisiana to form a Constitution and State Government had contained the following specific description of the new state's coastal boundary: "...thence bounded by the said Gulf to the place of beginning, including all islands within three leagues of the coast..."²

Except for the tripled width of her marginal belt, Louisiana was thus in the same position as other states. The state assumed the possession of title to the submerged soils off her coast and in 1915 and 1936 passed mineral leasing acts, under which there was established a license system for mineral leases in the Gulf of Mexico.³

In 1938, realizing that Congress was considering the assertion of Federal jurisdiction over the submerged lands, the Louisiana State Legislature passed a boundary extension act. This act was premised on the idea that the three-mile-limit had been based on the range of a cannon, and since it was reasoned that modern artillery had a greater range, it was considered appropriate to extend the limit to twenty-seven marine miles.⁴

¹2 U. S. Stat. 701.

²2 U. S. Stat. 611, underlining added by this writer.

³Ernest R. Bartley, op. cit., pp. 56, 57.

⁴ibid., p. 57.

Further, this boundary extension act minced no words in stating the legislative opinion of the state as to her jurisdiction:

"...the State of Louisiana owns in full and complete ownership the waters of the Gulf of Mexico and of the arms of said Gulf and the beds and shores of the Gulf of Mexico and the arms of the Gulf of Mexico, including all lands that are covered by the waters of the said Gulf and its arms either at high tide or low tide, within the boundaries of Louisiana as herein fixed."¹

3. California

Before the Mexican War of 1846, California had been governed as a Department of the Republic of Mexico. On 9 September 1850, the President of the United States approved an Act of Congress admitting the State of California into the Union. In common with all other states admitted under similar circumstances, California was, "admitted into the Union on an equal footing with the Original States in all respects whatever."²

As with Louisiana, the seaward boundary of the new state was described in the State Constitution that was accepted by Congress at the time of admission into the Union. The pertinent portion follows:

"...thence running west and along said boundary line, to the Pacific Ocean, and extending therein three English miles; thence running in a northwesterly direction and following the direction of the Pacific Coast, to the forty-second degree of north latitude, to the place of beginning. Also the islands, harbors, and bays along and adjacent to the coast."³

Nor did California doubt, until 1937, but that she held the submerged soils in the open sea below low-water mark in fee simple. Numerous state court decisions bear out the existence of such an assumption, she exercised jurisdiction on that basis,⁴ and in at least five instances the State of

¹Louisiana State Legislature, Act 55 of 1938 as quoted by Ernest R. Bartley, op. cit., p. 57.

²29 U. S. Stat. 452.

³Article XII, Section I, California Constitution of 1849 as quoted from Constitution of the State of California and of the United States and Other Public Documents, (State of California, Sacramento, 1941) p. 21.

⁴Ernest R. Bartley, op. cit., pp. 65, 66.

California or its municipal subdivisions issued grants, leases or easements to the Federal government, covering submerged lands below the low-water mark.¹

The first successful underwater oil development off the California coast was in the Summerland field, discovered in 1897, just south of Santa Barbara. But until 1921 there was no state legislation in California to govern the extraction of petroleum from submerged lands. In that year, a Mineral Leasing Act was enacted, reserving to the state all petroleum and other mineral deposits and authorizing the issuance of prospecting permits to tide and submerged lands.²

The Surveyor General of California, charged with administration of the Act, declined to issue certain permits in order to test the constitutionality of the law providing for the issuance of permits.³ The California Supreme Court then upheld the validity of the 1921 Act, declaring, "The minerals contained in the soil covered by the tidal and submerged lands belong to the State in its sovereign rights. ...The proprietary rights of the owners bordering on tide water do not extend beyond the ordinary high-water mark."⁴

With this decision and the discovery of several new fields, oil prospecting in the California offshore areas increased in tempo, but since the 1921 Act provided for a state royalty of only five per cent, the Legislature promptly passed an emergency measure to prohibit the issuance of any additional permits until they could develop new and more suitable legislation.

¹United States brief, U. S. v. California, op. cit.

²Ernest R. Bartley, op. cit., p. 67.

³Ibid., p. 68.

⁴Boone v. Kingsley, 206 Cal. 148, 170 (1928) as quoted by Ernest R. Bartley, op. cit., p. 68.

California as the principal jurisdiction in the United States for the purpose of the Federal Government, and the Federal Government is the only one which is not a party to the dispute.

The first occasion upon which the Government of California was involved in the dispute was in the year 1850, when the California Act of 1850 was passed. The Act provided that the California Act of 1850 should be the law of the State of California, and that the California Act of 1850 should be the law of the State of California. The Act also provided that the California Act of 1850 should be the law of the State of California, and that the California Act of 1850 should be the law of the State of California.

The Government of California, through its administration of the Act, has been the subject of much controversy. The Government has been accused of having violated the Act, and of having failed to enforce the Act. The Government has also been accused of having failed to protect the rights of the people of California. The Government has been accused of having failed to protect the rights of the people of California, and of having failed to protect the rights of the people of California.

The Government of California has been the subject of much controversy. The Government has been accused of having violated the Act, and of having failed to enforce the Act. The Government has also been accused of having failed to protect the rights of the people of California. The Government has been accused of having failed to protect the rights of the people of California, and of having failed to protect the rights of the people of California.

The Government of California has been the subject of much controversy. The Government has been accused of having violated the Act, and of having failed to enforce the Act. The Government has also been accused of having failed to protect the rights of the people of California. The Government has been accused of having failed to protect the rights of the people of California, and of having failed to protect the rights of the people of California.

Unfortunately, the legislators reckoned without the benefit of geology. In almost every instance, the offshore reservoirs could be drained from wells located ashore or could readily be reached by wells with surface locations on shore and the bore "whipstocked" out beneath the sea.¹

After several hectic years of charges and countercharges, fair and scandalous compromise settlements between the state and oil operators, and a plethora of politics, the State Land Act of 1938² lessened the chaotic exploitation of offshore oil in California.

This measure called for the making of leases on the basis of competitive bidding, and by its very substance reiterated the doctrine that resources beneath the submerged marginal belt were the property of the state.

4. Texas

Texas alone among the thirty-five states that have been added to the Union since 1789 was a truly independent nation prior to her annexation. Considering the earlier discussion of the original states' inability to conduct foreign relations and have for themselves the benefits of international law, this fact is of prime significance.

In 1836, the Texans revolted against Mexican rule and on 2 March of that year established the independent Republic of Texas. The United States, as well as France, the Netherlands and Great Britain, formally recognized the status of Texas as an independent nation.³

Immediately after Texas declared her independence, the Congress of the Republic of Texas defined the seaward boundary as, "beginning at the mouth of the Sabine River, and running west along the Gulf of Mexico three leagues from land to the mouth of the Rio Grande."⁴

¹Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 83 and 92 (1939), pp. 411-452.

²California Statutes, ex-session, 1938, p. 23, as quoted by Ernest R. Bartley, *op. cit.*, p.

³Ernest R. Bartley, *op. cit.*, p. 81.

⁴*ibid.*, p. 82.

[illegible]

1. The first step in the process is to identify the problem or issue that needs to be addressed. This involves gathering information and understanding the context of the situation.

It is also noted that the original status of the document is not known. It is also noted that the original status of the document is not known.

The above is a true and correct copy of the original as shown to me by the person who presented it to me.

... immediately after Town received her independence, the Congress of the United States...

1944-1945, 1946-1947, 1948-1949, 1950-1951, 1952-1953, 1954-1955, 1956-1957, 1958-1959, 1960-1961, 1962-1963, 1964-1965, 1966-1967, 1968-1969, 1970-1971, 1972-1973, 1974-1975, 1976-1977, 1978-1979, 1980-1981, 1982-1983, 1984-1985, 1986-1987, 1988-1989, 1990-1991, 1992-1993, 1994-1995, 1996-1997, 1998-1999, 2000-2001, 2002-2003, 2004-2005, 2006-2007, 2008-2009, 2010-2011, 2012-2013, 2014-2015, 2016-2017, 2018-2019, 2020-2021, 2022-2023, 2024-2025, 2026-2027, 2028-2029, 2030-2031, 2032-2033, 2034-2035, 2036-2037, 2038-2039, 2040-2041, 2042-2043, 2044-2045, 2046-2047, 2048-2049, 2050-2051, 2052-2053, 2054-2055, 2056-2057, 2058-2059, 2060-2061, 2062-2063, 2064-2065, 2066-2067, 2068-2069, 2070-2071, 2072-2073, 2074-2075, 2076-2077, 2078-2079, 2080-2081, 2082-2083, 2084-2085, 2086-2087, 2088-2089, 2090-2091, 2092-2093, 2094-2095, 2096-2097, 2098-2099, 2100-2101, 2102-2103, 2104-2105, 2106-2107, 2108-2109, 2110-2111, 2112-2113, 2114-2115, 2116-2117, 2118-2119, 2120-2121, 2122-2123, 2124-2125, 2126-2127, 2128-2129, 2130-2131, 2132-2133, 2134-2135, 2136-2137, 2138-2139, 2140-2141, 2142-2143, 2144-2145, 2146-2147, 2148-2149, 2150-2151, 2152-2153, 2154-2155, 2156-2157, 2158-2159, 2160-2161, 2162-2163, 2164-2165, 2166-2167, 2168-2169, 2170-2171, 2172-2173, 2174-2175, 2176-2177, 2178-2179, 2180-2181, 2182-2183, 2184-2185, 2186-2187, 2188-2189, 2190-2191, 2192-2193, 2194-2195, 2196-2197, 2198-2199, 2200-2201, 2202-2203, 2204-2205, 2206-2207, 2208-2209, 2210-2211, 2212-2213, 2214-2215, 2216-2217, 2218-2219, 2220-2221, 2222-2223, 2224-2225, 2226-2227, 2228-2229, 2230-2231, 2232-2233, 2234-2235, 2236-2237, 2238-2239, 2240-2241, 2242-2243, 2244-2245, 2246-2247, 2248-2249, 2250-2251, 2252-2253, 2254-2255, 2256-2257, 2258-2259, 2260-2261, 2262-2263, 2264-2265, 2266-2267, 2268-2269, 2270-2271, 2272-2273, 2274-2275, 2276-2277, 2278-2279, 2280-2281, 2282-2283, 2284-2285, 2286-2287, 2288-2289, 2290-2291, 2292-2293, 2294-2295, 2296-2297, 2298-2299, 2300-2301, 2302-2303, 2304-2305, 2306-2307, 2308-2309, 2310-2311, 2312-2313, 2314-2315, 2316-2317, 2318-2319, 2320-2321, 2322-2323, 2324-2325, 2326-2327, 2328-2329, 2330-2331, 2332-2333, 2334-2335, 2336-2337, 2338-2339, 2340-2341, 2342-2343, 2344-2345, 2346-2347, 2348-2349, 2350-2351, 2352-2353, 2354-2355, 2356-2357, 2358-2359, 2360-2361, 2362-2363, 2364-2365, 2366-2367, 2368-2369, 2370-2371, 2372-2373, 2374-2375, 2376-2377, 2378-2379, 2380-2381, 2382-2383, 2384-2385, 2386-2387, 2388-2389, 2390-2391, 2392-2393, 2394-2395, 2396-2397, 2398-2399, 2400-2401, 2402-2403, 2404-2405, 2406-2407, 2408-2409, 2410-2411, 2412-2413, 2414-2415, 2416-2417, 2418-2419, 2420-2421, 2422-2423, 2424-2425, 2426-2427, 2428-2429, 2430-2431, 2432-2433, 2434-2435, 2436-2437, 2438-2439, 2440-2441, 2442-2443, 2444-2445, 2446-2447, 2448-2449, 2450-2451, 2452-2453, 2454-2455, 2456-2457, 2458-2459, 2460-2461, 2462-2463, 2464-2465, 2466-2467, 2468-2469, 2470-2471, 2472-2473, 2474-2475, 2476-2477, 2478-2479, 2480-2481, 2482-2483, 2484-2485, 2486-2487, 2488-2489, 2490-2491, 2492-2493, 2494-2495, 2496-2497, 2498-2499, 2500-2501, 2502-2503, 2504-2505, 2506-2507, 2508-2509, 2510-2511, 2512-2513, 2514-2515, 2516-2517, 2518-2519, 2520-2521, 2522-2523, 2524-2525, 2526-2527, 2528-2529, 2530-2531, 2532-2533, 2534-2535, 2536-2537, 2538-2539, 2540-2541, 2542-2543, 2544-2545, 2546-2547, 2548-2549, 2550-2551, 2552-2553, 2554-2555, 2556-2557, 2558-2559, 2560-2561, 2562-2563, 2564-2565, 2566-2567, 2568-2569, 2570-2571, 2572-2573, 2574-2575, 2576-2577, 2578-2579, 2580-2581, 2582-2583, 2584-2585, 2586-2587, 2588-2589, 2590-2591, 2592-2593, 2594-2595, 2596-2597, 2598-2599, 2600-2601, 2602-2603, 2604-2605, 2606-2607, 2608-2609, 2610-2611, 2612-2613, 2614-2615, 2616-2617, 2618-2619, 2620-2621, 2622-2623, 2624-2625, 2626-2627, 2628-2629, 2630-2631, 2632-2633, 2634-2635, 2636-2637, 2638-2639, 2640-2641, 2642-2643, 2644-2645, 2646-2647, 2648-2649, 2650-2651, 2652-2653, 2654-2655, 2656-2657, 2658-2659, 2660-2661, 2662-2663, 2664-2665, 2666-2667, 2668-2669, 2670-2671, 2672-2673, 2674-2675, 2676-2677, 2678-2679, 2680-2681, 2682-2683, 2684-2685, 2686-2687, 26

In 1845, nine years after becoming an independent nation, the Republic of Texas was annexed to the United States. The joint resolution passed by the Congress of the United States for the purpose of permitting the annexation of Texas contained the following provision:

"Said State, when admitted into the Union...shall retain all the public funds, debts, taxes, and dues of every kind which may belong to or be due and owing said Republic; and shall also retain all the vacant and unappropriated lands lying within its limits..."¹

The Texas Convention, on 4 July 1845, accepted the resolution of Congress, as submitted and quoted above. Yet, when the Congress of the United States formally admitted the new state by Act of 29 December 1845, the admission act contained the customary "equal footing" clause, as follows: "That the State of Texas shall be one, and is hereby declared to be one of the United States of America, and admitted into the Union on an equal footing with the original states in all respects whatever."²

Despite the three-league-limit and the retention of all her public lands, Texas got the same formal acceptance as all the other states. Such treatment was strictly unilateral by the Congress; Texas herself neither asked for, received, or ever formally accepted "equal footing" with respect to her seaward boundary and her public lands.

Formal provision for leasing and developing the mineral resources beneath the waters of the Gulf was accomplished by act of the State Legislature in 1919. The procedure requires that leases to submerged lands be made on the basis of sealed bids, with the highest bidder for a particular parcel securing the lease.

State and lower Federal Courts had on several occasions upheld the state's assumption of ownership of the offshore oil and none of these decisions had been reversed by the Supreme Court prior to 1938.³

¹5 U. S. Stat. 797-98.

²9 U. S. Stat. 108.

³Ernest R. Bartley, *op. cit.*, pp. 91-93.

and the results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

The results of the study are presented in the following table.

At the request of Federal officials, the state had conveyed several parcels of submerged lands in the Gulf of Mexico to the United States between 1880 and 1912.¹ The assumption of state jurisdiction appears to have been quite generally accepted on all sides, at least until 1937.

¹Texas brief, United States v. Texas, 339 U. S. 707 (1950) pp. 186-188.

At the present time, the only one of the
... of the ... is ...
... of the ... is ...
... of the ... is ...

V. THE NAVY'S CASE IN 1937 - 1939

A. The Situation in California

There can be no doubt that prior to 1937, both the Federal government and State government considered that the states had jurisdiction over their offshore marginal belt, at least out to their stated boundaries. Any conclusion as to the reason for the abrupt shift of policy that then took place in Washington must be largely speculation. History seldom records motives, but from the knowledge at hand it may be feasible to satisfactorily deduce acceptable reasons.

Early impetus to the move to declare the offshore oil as being under the jurisdiction of the Federal government was given by the Navy Department. Initially, the Navy's move was made with respect only to oil off the California coast. It must have been apparent to responsible officers and officials in that Department that the production from the Navy's two existing Petroleum Reserves in California would not be adequate for any serious international conflict. Details concerning the amount of petroleum available from these Reserves are presented in a later section hereof. It was also apparent that reliance for a Pacific war on the transportation of petroleum to the West Coast from other areas would be difficult and fraught with danger from enemy submarine action. This particular argument was used at the time by Captain H. A. Stuart, Director of Naval Petroleum Reserves, in testimony before the House Judiciary Committee.¹ An obvious solution, from the Navy's point of view at the time, was to curtail the then existing chaotic exploitation of California's oil reserves in order that enough petroleum might be set aside to meet expected combat requirements.

¹Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 208 (1938), p. 37.

The California oil production picture at that time has been briefly touched on in an earlier section. It will be recalled that the State Legislature had, in 1929, prohibited any further exploration in the "state-owned" submerged lands,¹ and that these purported restrictions were not lifted until nine years later.² With geology, geography, economics and directional drilling all arrayed against the prohibition, it was essentially nullified. With their production from submerged lands probably illegal anyway, there was little temptation for oil operators to accomplish that production with any semblance of conservation practice whatever. As Captain Stuart declared, "The Government cannot depend on the industry in California to conserve any significant amount of oil for the national defense. The commercial interests of that industry are concerned primarily with profits, immediate and sustained."³

Even the approximate extent of the frantic exploitation will never be truly known. In 1937, a compromise agreement was reached between the state and the Standard Oil Company of California, by which the state received \$500,000 for its claims against the company for production from the "state-owned" marginal lands. State Senator Culbert L. Olsen labeled this transaction, "an outright gift by the state to the oil company of over \$5,000,000 and a flagrant betrayal of the people."⁴ It may be surmised that the true value of the oil produced by this one company from the offshore areas was somewhere between the two cited figures.

Assistant Secretary of the Navy, Charles Edison, writing to the Speaker of the House of Representatives on 20 February 1939, relative to the

¹California Statutes, 1929, p. 944, op. cit.

²"State Lands Act," Calif. Stats. ex. sess. 1938, p. 23, op. cit.

³Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 83 and 92 (1939), p. 48-49.

⁴Ernest E. Bartley, op. cit., p. 72, quoting from the Los Angeles Daily News, 13 October 1937.

The University and Colleges of the State of New York

Downloaded At: 11:53 11 September 2009

(continued from page 6)

[illegible]

and a 100% increase in the number of

value of the all products in this subcategory (1995) is

...between the two ethnic clusters

Author's address: Department of Psychology, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607, USA.

Reprints of this issue of *Environmental Science and Technology* are available to the

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the author to the reader, explaining the purpose of the study and the methods used. The letter is dated 1954 and is addressed to the reader.

1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2645, 2646, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2650, 2651, 2652, 2653, 2654, 2655, 2656, 2657, 2658, 2659, 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2666, 2667, 2668, 2669, 2670, 2671, 2672, 2673, 26

Downloaded At: 11:53 11 September 2009

California situation, stated:

"During the past nine years the State's reserves have been depleted by nearly twenty per cent, due primarily to withdrawals in excess of actual normal needs, whereas the reserves of the nation outside of California have shown a very pronounced increase...."¹

At that time, it appears that the Navy concluded that the best way to accomplish the desired conservation would be to lock up all the oil left in the ground in the offshore areas by establishing them as a Naval Petroleum Reserve and hence this recommendation was made to the Congress.²

It should have been clear to all concerned that the geology and geography that had nullified California's control attempts would pose comparable insoluble problems in the administration of an offshore Naval Petroleum Reserve. Geologically, the Navy was asking for something that it just couldn't have, and this was brought out in the Congressional hearings.³

Even with the geologic impossibility of maintaining an offshore reserve clearly established, the Navy persisted in arguments for Federal control of the offshore oil. We must therefore look further in any attempt to determine just what the Navy was trying to do.

¹Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 83 and 92, (1939), p. 21-22.

²Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 208, (1938), p. 37.

³Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 83 and 92, (1939), p. 347.

... ..

DOI: 10.1002/for

[illegible]

has evolved not only because the oil trade and world business is

1. The first question is whether the evidence is sufficient to establish that the defendant was in the area of the crime at the time it was committed. The evidence in this case is circumstantial, but it is strong and consistent. The defendant was seen near the crime scene at the time of the crime, and there is no other explanation for his presence there.

* Includes 1997-1998 and all the information was 1997-1998 and 1998-1999

Copyright © 2004 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Source: *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 1997, Vol. 92, No. 439, pp. 1023-1032.

control of the defense of the state, in order to ensure the safety of the state and the security of the people.

1950-1951, 1952-1953, 1954-1955, 1956-1957, 1958-1959, 1960-1961, 1962-1963, 1964-1965, 1966-1967, 1968-1969, 1970-1971, 1972-1973, 1974-1975, 1976-1977, 1978-1979, 1980-1981, 1982-1983, 1984-1985, 1986-1987, 1988-1989, 1990-1991, 1992-1993, 1994-1995, 1996-1997, 1998-1999, 2000-2001, 2002-2003, 2004-2005, 2006-2007, 2008-2009, 2010-2011, 2012-2013, 2014-2015, 2016-2017, 2018-2019, 2020-2021, 2022-2023, 2024-2025, 2026-2027, 2028-2029, 2030-2031, 2032-2033, 2034-2035, 2036-2037, 2038-2039, 2040-2041, 2042-2043, 2044-2045, 2046-2047, 2048-2049, 2050-2051, 2052-2053, 2054-2055, 2056-2057, 2058-2059, 2060-2061, 2062-2063, 2064-2065, 2066-2067, 2068-2069, 2070-2071, 2072-2073, 2074-2075, 2076-2077, 2078-2079, 2080-2081, 2082-2083, 2084-2085, 2086-2087, 2088-2089, 2090-2091, 2092-2093, 2094-2095, 2096-2097, 2098-2099, 2100-2101, 2102-2103, 2104-2105, 2106-2107, 2108-2109, 2110-2111, 2112-2113, 2114-2115, 2116-2117, 2118-2119, 2120-2121, 2122-2123, 2124-2125, 2126-2127, 2128-2129, 2130-2131, 2132-2133, 2134-2135, 2136-2137, 2138-2139, 2140-2141, 2142-2143, 2144-2145, 2146-2147, 2148-2149, 2150-2151, 2152-2153, 2154-2155, 2156-2157, 2158-2159, 2160-2161, 2162-2163, 2164-2165, 2166-2167, 2168-2169, 2170-2171, 2172-2173, 2174-2175, 2176-2177, 2178-2179, 2180-2181, 2182-2183, 2184-2185, 2186-2187, 2188-2189, 2190-2191, 2192-2193, 2194-2195, 2196-2197, 2198-2199, 2200-2201, 2202-2203, 2204-2205, 2206-2207, 2208-2209, 2210-2211, 2212-2213, 2214-2215, 2216-2217, 2218-2219, 2220-2221, 2222-2223, 2224-2225, 2226-2227, 2228-2229, 2230-2231, 2232-2233, 2234-2235, 2236-2237, 2238-2239, 2240-2241, 2242-2243, 2244-2245, 2246-2247, 2248-2249, 2250-2251, 2252-2253, 2254-2255, 2256-2257, 2258-2259, 2260-2261, 2262-2263, 2264-2265, 2266-2267, 2268-2269, 2270-2271, 2272-2273, 2274-2275, 2276-2277, 2278-2279, 2280-2281, 2282-2283, 2284-2285, 2286-2287, 2288-2289, 2290-2291, 2292-2293, 2294-2295, 2296-2297, 2298-2299, 2300-2301, 2302-2303, 2304-2305, 2306-2307, 2308-2309, 2310-2311, 2312-2313, 2314-2315, 2316-2317, 2318-2319, 2320-2321, 2322-2323, 2324-2325, 2326-2327, 2328-2329, 2330-2331, 2332-2333, 2334-2335, 2336-2337, 2338-2339, 2340-2341, 2342-2343, 2344-2345, 2346-2347, 2348-2349, 2350-2351, 2352-2353, 2354-2355, 2356-2357, 2358-2359, 2360-2361, 2362-2363, 2364-2365, 2366-2367, 2368-2369, 2370-2371, 2372-2373, 2374-2375, 2376-2377, 2378-2379, 2380-2381, 2382-2383, 2384-2385, 2386-2387, 2388-2389, 2390-2391, 2392-2393, 2394-2395, 2396-2397, 2398-2399, 2400-2401, 2402-2403, 2404-2405, 2406-2407, 2408-2409, 2410-2411, 2412-2413, 2414-2415, 2416-2417, 2418-2419, 2420-2421, 2422-2423, 2424-2425, 2426-2427, 2428-2429, 2430-2431, 2432-2433, 2434-2435, 2436-2437, 2438-2439, 2440-2441, 2442-2443, 2444-2445, 2446-2447, 2448-2449, 2450-2451, 2452-2453, 2454-2455, 2456-2457, 2458-2459, 2460-2461, 2462-2463, 2464-2465, 2466-2467, 2468-2469, 2470-2471, 2472-2473, 2474-2475, 2476-2477, 2478-2479, 2480-2481, 2482-2483, 2484-2485, 2486-2487, 2488-2489, 2490-2491, 2492-2493, 2494-2495, 2496-2497, 2498-2499, 2500-2501, 2502-2503, 2504-2505, 2506-2507, 2508-2509, 2510-2511, 2512-2513, 2514-2515, 2516-2517, 2518-2519, 2520-2521, 2522-2523, 2524-2525, 2526-2527, 2528-2529, 2530-2531, 2532-2533, 2534-2535, 2536-2537, 2538-2539, 2540-2541, 2542-2543, 2544-2545, 2546-2547, 2548-2549, 2550-2551, 2552-2553, 2554-2555, 2556-2557, 2558-2559, 2560-2561, 2562-2563, 2564-2565, 2566-2567, 2568-2569, 2570-2571, 2572-2573, 2574-2575, 2576-2577, 2578-2579, 2580-2581, 2582-2583, 2584-2585, 2586-2587, 2588-2589, 2590-2591, 2592-2593, 2594-2595, 2596-2597, 2598-2599, 2600-2601, 2602-2603, 2604-2605, 2606-2607, 2608-2609, 2610-2611, 2612-2613, 2614-2615, 2616-2617, 2618-2619, 2620-2621, 2622-2623, 2624-2625, 2626-2627, 2628-2629, 2630-2631, 2632-2633, 2634-2635, 2636-2637, 2638-2639, 2640-2641, 2642-2643, 2644-2645, 2646-2647, 2648-2649, 2650-2651, 2652-2653, 2654-2655, 2656-2657, 2658-2659, 2660-2661, 2662-2663, 2664-2665, 2666-2667, 2668-2669, 2670-2671, 2672-2673, 2674-2675, 2676-2677, 2678-2679, 2680-2681, 2682-2683, 2684-2685, 2686-2687, 2688-2689, 2690-2691, 2692-2693,

B. The International Situation

For a part of the time these discussions were being held in Washington, the writer served in a ship of the United States Fleet in the Pacific. Conditions were far different from those experienced in the same ocean a few years later, but even at that time there was only one expected possible enemy. That expected enemy - Japan - had insignificant petroleum reserves of her own. Not many years before, our own Navy had thought that they could store, in above-ground tanks at Pearl Harbor, enough petroleum to carry them through a Pacific war. It was expected that Nippon would quickly deplete her petroleum supplies and that her ships, in their last sortie to defeat, would be burning oil pressed from rape-seed and peanuts. That is exactly what did happen in 1945 after it had taken us four years to effectively seal off oil shipments to the Empire from the conquered East Indies.

In 1937 Japan had already extended her conquest to the Chinese mainland. That year our gunboat, PANAY, was sunk in the Yangtze by Japanese planes.

It may, therefore, be surmised that a real reason behind the Navy's position was stated by Mr. McNemar when he declared that the Navy was vitally interested in trying by all means possible to "force the private interests to desist from wantonly destroying these petroleum deposits ... and prevent this oil from being ... supplied to a certain Asiatic nation under circumstances inimical to our national defense."¹

This statement couples nicely with the previously given quotation from Assistant Secretary Edison's letter of 20 February 1939, that California

¹Brief of Leslie G. McNemar, Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 83 and 92, (1939), p. 88.

[illegible]

estimated. This point was confirmed by the fact that the Japanese in 1937 began to extend their control to the Chinese coast.

all from being ... applied in a certain latitude within circumstances
defined from completely destroying these political systems ... and provide this
information in light of all means possible to follow the private interests in
positions are stated by Dr. Johnson that he believed that the Jews are really
it was, however, he believed that a real reason behind the Jews' ...

These documents compare closely with the previously given evidence.

1970, p. 22.

oil reserves were being excessively depleted by "withdrawals in excess of actual normal needs."¹

It may now be reasonably concluded that the Navy was seriously concerned about excessively produced California oil going to their expected next probable enemy and that perhaps their main reasons for entering the argument over Federal versus State ownership were not merely to attempt to shut off this undesirable drain on the petroleum reserves of the United States but were more principally to keep any of these reserves from going to the Orient.

When the verbal battle began in earnest at the Seat of Government on 15 April 1937, California had not yet enacted her State Lands Act to assure some workable measure of control over the resources of the marginal sea. By the time this statute was on the books, one year later, the issue was joined politically; the Navy had entered the fray for the reasons discussed above; and it was to take a real shooting war to get the Service out of the political arena and leave the argument to the politicians. Even they were reasonably quiescent during the years of World War II when there were no fuel surpluses. After its unsuccessful endeavours of 1939, the Navy withdrew from the argument until they were abruptly returned to the lists by President Truman on 16 January 1953.

¹Hearings on Senate Joint Resolution, 83 and 92, (1939), p. 21-22.

the present day being generally accepted as the basis in cases of

actual injury.

It was not the intention of the law to require any

actual injury to be shown in order to recover damages.

There is no doubt that the law was intended to protect the

public from the effects of the law, and not to require any

actual injury to be shown in order to recover damages.

It was not the intention of the law to require any

actual injury.

There is no doubt that the law was intended to protect the

public from the effects of the law, and not to require any

actual injury to be shown in order to recover damages.

It was not the intention of the law to require any

actual injury to be shown in order to recover damages.

There is no doubt that the law was intended to protect the

public from the effects of the law, and not to require any

actual injury to be shown in order to recover damages.

It was not the intention of the law to require any

actual injury to be shown in order to recover damages.

There is no doubt that the law was intended to protect the

public from the effects of the law, and not to require any

actual injury to be shown in order to recover damages.

It was not the intention of the law to require any

actual injury to be shown in order to recover damages.

There is no doubt that the law was intended to protect the

public from the effects of the law, and not to require any

VI. CONGRESSIONAL AND EXECUTIVE ACTIONS AND JUDICIAL DECISIONS, 1937 - 1952

A. Congressional Actions

The opening blow of the controversy in Congress over the ownership and control of the marginal sea belt was delivered on 15 April 1937 when Senator Gerald P. Nye introduced Senate Bill 2164 which, in effect, declared that the lands beneath the seas in the marginal belt off all coastal states were a part of the public domain of the United States. Six days later, the Navy Department wrote the Chairman of the Senate Public Lands Committee urging Congress to enact a law declaring that these submerged lands were a part of the "public domain" of the United States in order that the Federal Mineral Leasing Act might be invoked for the "conservation of mineral resources in these areas."¹

Senator Nye's original bill was quickly withdrawn because it was reasoned that if the Federal government already held title to the areas in question, the issue might be more properly met by means of a Joint Congressional Resolution directing the Attorney General to assert such title. Such a resolution was then introduced by Senator Nye—Senate Joint Resolution 208—asserting that all submerged lands below the low-water mark and within the three-mile-limit were the property of the United States; and since certain persons were entering upon them and removing oil, it directed the Attorney General of the United States to "assert, maintain, and establish the title and possession of the United States to the submerged lands aforesaid."²

¹Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 208 (1938), p. 31.

²61 Congressional Record, p. 9326.

Abstract not available

made 1992 March 22 as beautiful and that our forecasts will be wrong for

Journal of Interpersonal Violence 25(12)

214 The Oxford Dictionary will not prove and illustrate itself with such examples.

© 2000 Blackwell Science Ltd *Journal of Internal Medicine* 247: 395–402

and that, the first treatment with the G-protein at the same initial time

Responsible as well as safe working conditions are a basis for successful business.

© 2002 Blackwell Science Ltd, *Journal of Internal Medicine* 252: 395–402

© 2000 Blackwell Science Ltd, *Journal of Internal Medicine* 247: 103–110

10. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 1991, 86, 103-110.

On 19 August 1937, with no public hearings by any Senate committee, and with only an explanation on the floor, in which no dissenting voice was raised, the resolution was passed by the Senate.¹

On 23, 24, and 25 February 1938, the House Committee on the Judiciary held hearings on this Senate Joint Resolution 208, the first hearings in a series on the subject that continued at intervals, though with startling regularity, until mid-1953, and that may be renewed before the snows of 1955 melt if the Eight-fourth Congress convenes in January of that year with a Democratic majority.

Although the Committee, by a vote of 10 to 8, favorably reported a modified resolution to the House, it failed to come to a vote on the floor and died on the calendar of the Seventy-fifth Congress.

This modified resolution was essentially a declaration: (1) that the conservation of petroleum deposits in the submerged lands, "adjacent to and along the Coast of California" was essential for national defense, maintenance of the Navy, and protection and regulation of interstate commerce and (2) that the right of the Federal government in this area was an "attribute of its sovereignty, paramount and exclusive"; and a directive to the Attorney General to maintain this right against trespassers through appropriate judicial proceedings.²

When the Seventy-sixth Congress convened, there were no fewer than five resolutions introduced in the premises. Senator Nye introduced a new resolution (S. J. Res. 24) repeating his original Senate Joint Resolution 208 of the previous year and then followed it with Senate Joint Resolution 92, paralleling the modified version that had resulted from the earlier House committee hearings. Senator David Walsh, acting for the Navy Department as Chairman of the Naval Affairs Committee, introduced a similar

¹Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al, (1953), p. 641.

²H. R. Report No. 2373, 75th Congress, 3rd Session, 19 May 1938.

measure, Senate Joint Resolution 83. Two comparable resolutions were introduced in the House of Representatives, House Joint Resolutions 176 and 181. Hearings were held in committees of both the Senate and the House on these measures, but neither House nor Senate took any decisive action.¹

With the failure of these two years of work to obtain a Congressional mandate favoring Federal ownership of the submerged lands, or at least Federal ownership of the petroleum in them, there was a temporary halt in such endeavours. The outbreak in Europe of World War II seemingly occupied the minds of all principals sufficiently that the offshore oil controversy was relegated to the background.

The next Congressional action on the matter came after the surrender of Germany when, on 18, 19 and 29 June 1945, the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and a special subcommittee of the Judiciary Committee of the Senate held hearings on House Joint Resolution 118 and numerous companion bills.² This measure in essence quitclaimed to the states those submerged marginal lands within state boundaries at the time they entered the Union.³ The roll of sponsors of this and its companion bills is worth noting. Nine similar resolutions had been introduced by Representatives and Senators from California, three by members from Louisiana and one each by members from Mississippi, Alabama, Maine, Illinois, Ohio and Nevada.⁴ The absence of Texas is unusual, unless one surmises that Texans were certain they already owned their offshore lands. Although the two Great Lakes states may be considered as participating because of their possible interest in lands beneath the Lakes, the presence of Nevada is inexplicable

¹Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al., (1953), p. 7.

²Ibid., p. 7.

³Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al., (1953), p. 7

⁴Ernest R. Bartley, op. cit., p. 144.

unless it may be surmised that Senator McCarran hoped to obtain for his state some of the Federal lands within that state by supporting the giving away of the other possible Federal lands.

It is significant that none of these resolutions made any claims for ownership by either the Federal government or the states. They were all designed merely to certify that it was the mind of Congress that the Federal government either recognized that the submerged lands belonged to the states, or that it was thereby giving the lands to the states in case any one considered that the Federal government was the owner.

The Juns hearings resulted in House Joint Resolution 225 being reported out favorably and this measure passed the House on 21 September 1945, quitclaiming for the Federal government "right, title, interest or claim" in the submerged lands within the three mile limit.¹

On 5, 6 and 7 February 1946, the Senate Judiciary Committee held hearings on this measure, reporting it out favorably, and on 24 April 1946 the measure passed the Senate. After a conference to clear slight differences between the House and Senate versions, the measure was sent to the President in final form on 23 July. President Truman vetoed this legislation on 2 August 1946 and the House failed to over-ride the veto.

At this point the situation neared an impasse. Proponents of Federal ownership had been unable to obtain a favorable decision from the Congress and the proponents of state ownership had been equally unable to obtain a favorable decision from the President. In retrospect, it would appear that a compromise might have been in order, but neither side was ready for compromise and none was offered.

By the time Congress convened in early 1948, the Supreme Court had ruled against the State of California in the matter of submerged lands and

¹Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al (1953), p. 7.

[illegible]

it was apparent that positive Congressional action would be required if the proponents of state jurisdiction were to salvage their contentions.

For seventeen days in February and March of 1948, joint hearings were held before subcommittees of both the House and Senate Committees on the Judiciary on Senate Bill 1988 and more than a score of companion House bills, quitclaiming to the states submerged coastal lands within original state boundaries. This measure was favorably reported to the Senate, but before it was considered on the floor, the House had passed a nearly identical bill, House Bill 5860. Because of the imminence of the Republican National Convention and the threat of a filibuster by a pair of New England senators, both quitclaim measures died on the calendar of the Senate of the Eightieth Congress.¹

When the Eighty-first Congress convened, there was the usual rash of quitclaim bills, but by now compromise proposals were entering the picture. Two diametrically opposite bills were introduced in the House (H.R. 5991 and H.R. 5992) for the express purpose of searching for a compromise between them. Senator Langer of North Dakota introduced a bill (S. 1700) proposing that the states have jurisdiction within one mile of the shore and that a Federal waterlands reserve be created in the remaining area, with the proceeds therefrom going into a fund to aid education. Since most of the then producing wells in California were within one mile of the shore, this could have been a sound basis for compromise but neither side was in a compromising mood. During this Congress, one straight quitclaim measure (H. 8137) was reported out to the House, but no submerged lands bills came to a vote, although there had been three separate sets of lengthy hearings between 24 August 1949 and 19 August 1950.²

¹Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al (1953), p. 8 and Ernest R. Bartley, op. cit., pp. 217, 218.

²Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al (1953), p. 8

In the Eighty-second Congress, the matter of oil beneath the submerged marginal lands was again a strident issue. The usual multitude of bills was introduced. The House, without additional public committee hearings, passed a quitclaim bill (H. 4484) on 30 July 1951. This bill was different in some significant respects from previous quitclaim measures, and might be considered to have been somewhat in the nature of a compromise. It confirmed title to offshore soils to the states, out to their original boundaries, and then declared that the United States controlled the continental shelf beyond those boundaries. This Congressional recognition of Presidential Proclamation No. 2667 is significant, since it foretold the later outcome of the controversy. The Senate passed a similar measure (S.J. Res. 20) on 2 April 1952, after lengthy additional public hearings, and the conference report was approved and sent to the President on 16 May 1952. On 29 May, President Truman exercised his power of veto and again enough votes to over-ride the veto could not be mustered.¹

This failure to reach any legislative solution to the controversy completed the Congressional actions under the Democratic Administration, but the foundation was well laid for the people of the nation to express their views on the matter at the 1952 General Election.

¹ Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al, p. 8 and pp. 654-656.

B. Executive Actions

Until 1937, the Department of the Interior had consistently declined to accept or consider applications for Federal mineral leases in the off-shore marginal lands. Beginning in that year, at about the same time that Senator Nye introduced his first bill, Secretary Ickes, instead of denying and returning such applications, commenced to hold them in abeyance.¹ In this way, that Executive Branch entered the controversy. As the situation developed, the Interior Department and Secretary Ickes, as an individual and as a government official, were to carry the brunt of the Federal government's position for many years.

As previously noted, the Navy Department also entered the lists early in the fray. A letter, dated 19 February 1938, from the Secretary of the Navy to the Chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, quite clearly states the position of the Navy in the 1937-1939 period, a position that the Service steadfastly maintained throughout this period. Pertinent portions of that letter, which contained a suggested and recommended resolution, are quoted as follows:

"Whereas the petroleum reserves in the United States are constantly decreasing and are in serious danger of depletion or loss from various causes; and

"Whereas large petroleum deposits underlie various submerged lands along and adjacent to the coasts of the United States and its territories and possessions, below low-water mark and under the territorial waters thereof; and

"Whereas the conservation of the aforesaid petroleum products is essential for purposes of national defense and future maintenance of the Navy; and

¹Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 83 and 92, (1939), pp. 23, 24.

"Whereas various persons have heretofore entered, or intend and propose to enter, upon and beneath such submerged lands and have removed or propose to remove the petroleum deposits underlying the same, without the consent or permission of the United States, and to the irreparable damage and injury of the United States; and

"Whereas immediate action on the part of the United States is necessary to preserve such petroleum deposits for the future use of the United States: Now, therefore, be it

"Resolved...That the conservation of petroleum deposits underlying submerged lands adjacent to and along the coasts of the United States...is hereby declared to be essential for purposes of national defense and the future maintenance of the Navy; and that the President is hereby authorized and directed to reserve and set aside as naval petroleum reserves any and all such deposits or submerged lands as he shall find contain or are likely to contain such deposits, ...subject to the same control by the Secretary of the Navy as is provided for other naval petroleum reserves;..."¹

The balance of the recommended resolution relates to directing the Attorney General to institute appropriate judicial proceedings to assert Federal title to the lands involved.

From the demise of the legislative efforts in 1939 to the filing by the Department of Justice of the first court suit, on 29 May 1945, it appears that no significant action was taken by the Executive Departments.

It was reported in the 1945 hearings before the Joint Congressional Committee that the Departments of Justice, Interior and Navy had all been asked if they had anything to contribute.² Only two replies were received; both the Attorney General and the Secretary of the Interior sent non-committal replies to the effect that the question of quitclaim was a policy issue to be left to the determination of Congress.³ The failure of the Navy Department to express any view whatever is mute evidence of their apparent loss of interest in the matter.

¹Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al., (1953), pp. 641, 642

²Hearings on House Joint Res. 118 et al., (1945), p. 121.

³ibid., pp. 19 and 159-161.

It is important to note that the results of this study are based on a cross-sectional design. Therefore, the causal relationships between the variables cannot be definitively established. Future research should consider longitudinal designs to explore the temporal dynamics of these relationships.

...the ... of ...

THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20540

It was reported in the New Orleans Times-Picayune that the United States Government was considering the possibility of purchasing the ship for use as a hospital ship. The ship was reported to be in good condition and was being used as a hospital ship for the United States Navy. The ship was reported to be in good condition and was being used as a hospital ship for the United States Navy.

and the fact that the Government is not in a position to do so. The Government is not in a position to do so. The Government is not in a position to do so.

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and dates, which appears to be a roster or a list of participants. The names are written in a cursive script, and the dates are in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into two columns, with names on the left and dates on the right.

2. The second part of the document is a list of names and dates, which appears to be a roster or a list of participants. The names are written in a cursive script, and the dates are in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into two columns, with names on the left and dates on the right.

3. The third part of the document is a list of names and dates, which appears to be a roster or a list of participants. The names are written in a cursive script, and the dates are in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into two columns, with names on the left and dates on the right.

4. The fourth part of the document is a list of names and dates, which appears to be a roster or a list of participants. The names are written in a cursive script, and the dates are in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into two columns, with names on the left and dates on the right.

5. The fifth part of the document is a list of names and dates, which appears to be a roster or a list of participants. The names are written in a cursive script, and the dates are in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into two columns, with names on the left and dates on the right.

6. The sixth part of the document is a list of names and dates, which appears to be a roster or a list of participants. The names are written in a cursive script, and the dates are in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into two columns, with names on the left and dates on the right.

7. The seventh part of the document is a list of names and dates, which appears to be a roster or a list of participants. The names are written in a cursive script, and the dates are in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into two columns, with names on the left and dates on the right.

8. The eighth part of the document is a list of names and dates, which appears to be a roster or a list of participants. The names are written in a cursive script, and the dates are in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into two columns, with names on the left and dates on the right.

9. The ninth part of the document is a list of names and dates, which appears to be a roster or a list of participants. The names are written in a cursive script, and the dates are in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into two columns, with names on the left and dates on the right.

10. The tenth part of the document is a list of names and dates, which appears to be a roster or a list of participants. The names are written in a cursive script, and the dates are in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into two columns, with names on the left and dates on the right.

The writer is personally acquainted with Commodore W. B. Greenman, who was Director of Naval Petroleum Reserves at that time. Since Commodore Greenman held, and deserved, the nickname, "The Screaming Panther", it is inconceivable that he would have kept quiet if any Navy interest existed. It should be noted, however, that the Navy was then hard at work exploring Naval Petroleum Reserve No. 4 in the Point Barrow, Alaska area.

Commencing in 1946, both the Attorney General and the Secretary of the Interior staunchly opposed all quitclaim attempts in Congressional hearings.

As previously mentioned, the first court suit, to enjoin the Pacific Western Oil Company from "extracting additional oil in the rich submerged Elwood Field near Santa Barbara" was filed by the Department of Justice on 29 May 1945.¹ On 19 October 1945, this suit was dropped, at which time the Attorney General filed action against the State of California in the original jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of the United States.

The existence of this undecided suit served as the grounds for President Truman's veto, on 2 August 1946, of the first quitclaim measure to be passed by the Congress. The President merely stated that the issue was currently before the Supreme Court for decision and should not be disturbed; he wanted determination on the legal issues involved.²

On 23 June 1947, the Supreme Court, by a divided opinion, held for the United States and against California in United States v. California. The substance of this decision was to the effect that California did not own the contested area and that the United States had paramount rights therein.³ With the entering of a final order and decree by the Supreme Court on 27 October 1947, the case was closed.

¹Ernest R. Bartley, op. cit., p. 159, quoting from Los Angeles Times, 30 May 1945.

²92 Congressional Record 10660.

³332 U. S. 19.

[illegible]

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-371101) FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (100-100000) (P)
SUBJECT: [REDACTED] (C)
[REDACTED]

The following information is being furnished to you for your information only. It is not intended to be used for any other purpose. The information is being furnished to you for your information only. It is not intended to be used for any other purpose. The information is being furnished to you for your information only. It is not intended to be used for any other purpose.

On 23 June 1999, the Bureau County, in a separate meeting, told the Illinois Water and Energy Conference in Edwardsville, Illinois, that the Illinois and Kentucky are in the process of negotiating a water agreement with the United States and Kentucky to give the United States a 10% share of the water rights in the Illinois River. The Bureau County, in a separate meeting, told the Illinois Water and Energy Conference in Edwardsville, Illinois, that the Illinois and Kentucky are in the process of negotiating a water agreement with the United States and Kentucky to give the United States a 10% share of the water rights in the Illinois River.

As has been noted previously, the opponents of Federal ownership and control countered this loss of a judicial battle by increasing the tempo of their legislative war. Again they were strongly opposed in hearings by representatives of the Department of Justice and the Department of the Interior and on the floor by legislators favorable to the administration's position.

On 21 December 1948, suits were filed in the original jurisdiction of the Supreme Court against Texas and Louisiana, quite similar to the suit that had been won against California. Decrees in favor of the United States were handed down in each of these cases on 11 December 1950, the form followed being essentially that used in the United States v. California.¹

These further judicial blows to the proponents of state ownership prompted a new flood of efforts to obtain legislative relief, but, as previously noted, passage by Congress of a quitclaim measure was not achieved until 16 May 1952.

This time there could be little doubt of the authority of the Congress to dispose of the submerged lands as they chose. The only obstacle was in obtaining the concurrence of the President.

The Administration and the Executive Departments, however, still strongly opposed quitclaim. President Truman, in his veto message of 29 May 1952, stated his administration's views quite clearly and at considerable length, as shown in the following extracts from that message:

"...I have concluded that I cannot approve this joint resolution, because it would turn over to certain states, as a free gift, very valuable lands and mineral resources of the United States as a whole - that is, of all the people of the country. I do not believe such an action would be in the national interest...

¹United States v. Louisiana, 340 U. S. 899 and United States v. Texas, 340 U. S. 900.

[illegible]

RECEIVED: JANUARY 1979; REVISED: MAY 1979; ACCEPTED: JUNE 1979

Many thanks to people who have helped us in various ways, as a Club

...and the ...

"...it is of great importance that the exploration of the submerged lands - both in the marginal sea belt and the rest of the Continental Shelf - for oil and gas fields should go ahead rapidly, and any fields discovered should be developed in an orderly fashion which will provide adequate recognition for the needs of national defense...

"I wish to call special attention to the need for considering the national-defense aspects of this matter - which the present bill disregards completely.

"...It is not too much to say that in passing this legislation the Congress proposes to surrender priceless opportunities for conservation and other safeguards for national security. I regard this as extremely unfortunate, and it is for this reason especially that the Department of Defense has strongly urged me to withhold approval..."¹

For the first time in thirteen years, the military services re-entered the controversy openly. Note the President's reference to the urging of the Defense Department against the quitclaim measure. By this time, the original rosy prospects of the Point Barrow Reserve had been largely disproved. Careful readers of this veto message should not have been at all surprised by the President's later sudden setting aside of the marginal sea area as a Naval Petroleum Reserve, it seems to be almost presaged.

With this veto message, the actions in the premises of the Executive Branch under the Democratic Administration were nearly concluded. It was on this note that the issue was submitted to the electorate in the 1952 elections.

¹Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al., (1953), p. 649-654.

...Anxious reaction to them and not only

1. The first step is to identify the problem or question that needs to be answered. This involves understanding the context and the specific information required.

1. The first of these is the fact that the
2. second is the fact that the third is the fact that the
3. fourth is the fact that the fifth is the fact that the
4. sixth is the fact that the seventh is the fact that the
5. eighth is the fact that the ninth is the fact that the
6. tenth is the fact that the eleventh is the fact that the
7. twelfth is the fact that the thirteenth is the fact that the
8. fourteenth is the fact that the fifteenth is the fact that the
9. sixteenth is the fact that the seventeenth is the fact that the
10. eighteenth is the fact that the nineteenth is the fact that the
11. twentieth is the fact that the twenty-first is the fact that the
12. twenty-second is the fact that the twenty-third is the fact that the
13. twenty-fourth is the fact that the twenty-fifth is the fact that the
14. twenty-sixth is the fact that the twenty-seventh is the fact that the
15. twenty-eighth is the fact that the twenty-ninth is the fact that the
16. thirtieth is the fact that the thirty-first is the fact that the
17. thirty-second is the fact that the thirty-third is the fact that the
18. thirty-fourth is the fact that the thirty-fifth is the fact that the
19. thirty-sixth is the fact that the thirty-seventh is the fact that the
20. thirty-eighth is the fact that the thirty-ninth is the fact that the
21. fortieth is the fact that the forty-first is the fact that the
22. forty-second is the fact that the forty-third is the fact that the
23. forty-fourth is the fact that the forty-fifth is the fact that the
24. forty-sixth is the fact that the forty-seventh is the fact that the
25. forty-eighth is the fact that the forty-ninth is the fact that the
26. fiftieth is the fact that the fifty-first is the fact that the
27. fifty-second is the fact that the fifty-third is the fact that the
28. fifty-fourth is the fact that the fifty-fifth is the fact that the
29. fifty-sixth is the fact that the fifty-seventh is the fact that the
30. fifty-eighth is the fact that the fifty-ninth is the fact that the
31. sixtieth is the fact that the sixty-first is the fact that the
32. sixty-second is the fact that the sixty-third is the fact that the
33. sixty-fourth is the fact that the sixty-fifth is the fact that the
34. sixty-sixth is the fact that the sixty-seventh is the fact that the
35. sixty-eighth is the fact that the sixty-ninth is the fact that the
36. seventieth is the fact that the seventy-first is the fact that the
37. seventy-second is the fact that the seventy-third is the fact that the
38. seventy-fourth is the fact that the seventy-fifth is the fact that the
39. seventy-sixth is the fact that the seventy-seventh is the fact that the
40. seventy-eighth is the fact that the seventy-ninth is the fact that the
41. eightieth is the fact that the eighty-first is the fact that the
42. eighty-second is the fact that the eighty-third is the fact that the
43. eighty-fourth is the fact that the eighty-fifth is the fact that the
44. eighty-sixth is the fact that the eighty-seventh is the fact that the
45. eighty-eighth is the fact that the eighty-ninth is the fact that the
46. ninetieth is the fact that the ninety-first is the fact that the
47. ninety-second is the fact that the ninety-third is the fact that the
48. ninety-fourth is the fact that the ninety-fifth is the fact that the
49. ninety-sixth is the fact that the ninety-seventh is the fact that the
50. ninety-eighth is the fact that the ninety-ninth is the fact that the
51. hundredth is the fact that the hundred-first is the fact that the
52. hundred-second is the fact that the hundred-third is the fact that the
53. hundred-fourth is the fact that the hundred-fifth is the fact that the
54. hundred-sixth is the fact that the hundred-seventh is the fact that the
55. hundred-eighth is the fact that the hundred-ninth is the fact that the
56. hundred-tenth is the fact that the hundred-eleventh is the fact that the
57. hundred-twelfth is the fact that the hundred-thirteenth is the fact that the
58. hundred-fourteenth is the fact that the hundred-fifteenth is the fact that the
59. hundred-sixteenth is the fact that the hundred-seventeenth is the fact that the
60. hundred-eighteenth is the fact that the hundred-nineteenth is the fact that the
61. hundred-twentieth is the fact that the hundred-twenty-first is the fact that the
62. hundred-twenty-second is the fact that the hundred-twenty-third is the fact that the
63. hundred-twenty-fourth is the fact that the hundred-twenty-fifth is the fact that the
64. hundred-twenty-sixth is the fact that the hundred-twenty-seventh is the fact that the
65. hundred-twenty-eighth is the fact that the hundred-twenty-ninth is the fact that the
66. hundred-thirtieth is the fact that the hundred-thirty-first is the fact that the
67. hundred-thirty-second is the fact that the hundred-thirty-third is the fact that the
68. hundred-thirty-fourth is the fact that the hundred-thirty-fifth is the fact that the
69. hundred-thirty-sixth is the fact that the hundred-thirty-seventh is the fact that the
70. hundred-thirty-eighth is the fact that the hundred-thirty-ninth is the fact that the
71. hundred-fortieth is the fact that the hundred-forty-first is the fact that the
72. hundred-forty-second is the fact that the hundred-forty-third is the fact that the
73. hundred-forty-fourth is the fact that the hundred-forty-fifth is the fact that the
74. hundred-forty-sixth is the fact that the hundred-forty-seventh is the fact that the
75. hundred-forty-eighth is the fact that the hundred-forty-ninth is the fact that the
76. hundred-fiftieth is the fact that the hundred-fifty-first is the fact that the
77. hundred-fifty-second is the fact that the hundred-fifty-third is the fact that the
78. hundred-fifty-fourth is the fact that the hundred-fifty-fifth is the fact that the
79. hundred-fifty-sixth is the fact that the hundred-fifty-seventh is the fact that the
80. hundred-fifty-eighth is the fact that the hundred-fifty-ninth is the fact that the
81. hundred-sixtieth is the fact that the hundred-sixty-first is the fact that the
82. hundred-sixty-second is the fact that the hundred-sixty-third is the fact that the
83. hundred-sixty-fourth is the fact that the hundred-sixty-fifth is the fact that the
84. hundred-sixty-sixth is the fact that the hundred-sixty-seventh is the fact that the
85. hundred-sixty-eighth is the fact that the hundred-sixty-ninth is the fact that the
86. hundred-seventieth is the fact that the hundred-seventy-first is the fact that the
87. hundred-seventy-second is the fact that the hundred-seventy-third is the fact that the
88. hundred-seventy-fourth is the fact that the hundred-seventy-fifth is the fact that the
89. hundred-seventy-sixth is the fact that the hundred-seventy-seventh is the fact that the
90. hundred-seventy-eighth is the fact that the hundred-seventy-ninth is the fact that the
91. hundred-eightieth is the fact that the hundred-eighty-first is the fact that the
92. hundred-eighty-second is the fact that the hundred-eighty-third is the fact that the
93. hundred-eighty-fourth is the fact that the hundred-eighty-fifth is the fact that the
94. hundred-eighty-sixth is the fact that the hundred-eighty-seventh is the fact that the
95. hundred-eighty-eighth is the fact that the hundred-eighty-ninth is the fact that the
96. hundred-ninetieth is the fact that the hundred-ninety-first is the fact that the
97. hundred-ninety-second is the fact that the hundred-ninety-third is the fact that the
98. hundred-ninety-fourth is the fact that the hundred-ninety-fifth is the fact that the
99. hundred-ninety-sixth is the fact that the hundred-ninety-seventh is the fact that the
100. hundred-ninety-eighth is the fact that the hundred-ninety-ninth is the fact that the
101. two hundredth is the fact that the two hundred-first is the fact that the
102. two hundred-second is the fact that the two hundred-third is the fact that the
103. two hundred-fourth is the fact that the two hundred-fifth is the fact that the
104. two hundred-sixth is the fact that the two hundred-seventh is the fact that the
105. two hundred-eighth is the fact that the two hundred-ninth is the fact that the
106. two hundred-tenth is the fact that the two hundred-eleventh is the fact that the
107. two hundred-twelfth is the fact that the two hundred-thirteenth is the fact that the
108. two hundred-fourteenth is the fact that the two hundred-fifteenth is the fact that the
109. two hundred-sixteenth is the fact that the two hundred-seventeenth is the fact that the
110. two hundred-eighteenth is the fact that the two hundred-nineteenth is the fact that the
111. two hundred-twentieth is the fact that the two hundred-twenty-first is the fact that the
112. two hundred-twenty-second is the fact that the two hundred-twenty-third is the fact that the
113. two hundred-twenty-fourth is the fact that the two hundred-twenty-fifth is the fact that the
114. two hundred-twenty-sixth is the fact that the two hundred-twenty-seventh is the fact that the
115. two hundred-twenty-eighth is the fact that the two hundred-twenty-ninth is the fact that the
116. two hundred-thirtieth is the fact that the two hundred-thirty-first is the fact that the
117. two hundred-thirty-second is the fact that the two hundred-thirty-third is the fact that the
118. two hundred-thirty-fourth is the fact that the two hundred-thirty-fifth is the fact that the
119. two hundred-thirty-sixth is the fact that the two hundred-thirty-seventh is the fact that the
120. two hundred-thirty-eighth is the fact that the two hundred-thirty-ninth is the fact that the
121. two hundred-fortieth is the fact that the two hundred-forty-first is the fact that the
122. two hundred-forty-second is the fact that the two hundred-forty-third is the fact that the
123. two hundred-forty-fourth is the fact that the two hundred-forty-fifth is the fact that the
124. two hundred-forty-sixth is the fact that the two hundred-forty-seventh is the fact that the
125. two hundred-forty-eighth is the fact that the two hundred-forty-ninth is the fact that the
126. two hundred-fiftieth is the fact that the two hundred-fifty-first is the fact that the
127. two hundred-fifty-second is the fact that the two hundred-fifty-third is the fact that the
128. two hundred-fifty-fourth is the fact that the two hundred-fifty-fifth is the fact that the
129. two hundred-fifty-sixth is the fact that the two hundred-fifty-seventh is the fact that the
130. two hundred-fifty-eighth is the fact that the two hundred-fifty-ninth is the fact that the
131. two hundred-sixtieth is the fact that the two hundred-sixty-first is the fact that the
132. two hundred-sixty-second is the fact that the two hundred-sixty-third is the fact that the
133. two hundred-sixty-fourth is the fact that the two hundred-sixty-fifth is the fact that the
134. two hundred-sixty-sixth is the fact that the two hundred-sixty-seventh is the fact that the
135. two hundred-sixty-eighth is the fact that the two hundred-sixty-ninth is the fact that the
136. two hundred-seventieth is the fact that the two hundred-seventy-first is the fact that the
137. two hundred-seventy-second is the fact that the two hundred-seventy-third is the fact that the
138. two hundred-seventy-fourth is the fact that the two hundred-seventy-fifth is the fact that the
139. two hundred-seventy-sixth is the fact that the two hundred-seventy-seventh is the fact that the
140. two hundred-seventy-eighth is the fact that the two hundred-seventy-ninth is the fact that the
141. two hundred-eightieth is the fact that the two hundred-eighty-first is the fact that the
142. two hundred-eighty-second is the fact that the two hundred-eighty-third is the fact that the
143. two hundred-eighty-fourth is the fact that the two hundred-eighty-fifth is the fact that the
144. two hundred-eighty-sixth is the fact that the two hundred-eighty-seventh is the fact that the
145. two hundred-eighty-eighth is the fact that the two hundred-eighty-ninth is the fact that the
146. two hundred-ninetieth is the fact that the two hundred-ninety-first is the fact that the
147. two hundred-ninety-second is the fact that the two hundred-ninety-third is the fact that the
148. two hundred-ninety-fourth is the fact that the two hundred-ninety-fifth is the fact that the
149. two hundred-ninety-sixth is the fact that the two hundred-ninety-seventh is the fact that the
150. two hundred-ninety-eighth is the fact that the two hundred-ninety-ninth is the fact that the
151. three hundredth is the fact that the three hundred-first is the fact that the
152. three hundred-second is the fact that the three hundred-third is the fact that the
153. three hundred-fourth is the fact that the three hundred-fifth is the fact that the
154. three hundred-sixth is the fact that the three hundred-seventh is the fact that the
155. three hundred-eighth is the fact that the three hundred-ninth is the fact that the
156. three hundred-tenth is the fact that the three hundred-eleventh is the fact that the
157. three hundred-twelfth is the fact that the three hundred-thirteenth is the fact that the
158. three hundred-fourteenth is the fact that the three hundred-fifteenth is the fact that the
159. three hundred-sixteenth is the fact that the three hundred-seventeenth is the fact that the
160. three hundred-eighteenth is the fact that the three hundred-nineteenth is the fact that the
161. three hundred-twentieth is the fact that the three hundred-twenty-first is the fact that the
162. three hundred-twenty-second is the fact that the three hundred-twenty-third is the fact that the
163. three hundred-twenty-fourth is the fact that the three hundred-twenty-fifth is the fact that the
164. three hundred-twenty-sixth is the fact that the three hundred-twenty-seventh is the fact that the
165. three hundred-twenty-eighth is the fact that the three hundred-twenty-ninth is the fact that the
166. three hundred-thirtieth is the fact that the three hundred-thirty-first is the fact that the
167. three hundred-thirty-second is the fact that the three hundred-thirty-third is the fact that the
168. three hundred-thirty-fourth is the fact that the three hundred-thirty-fifth is the fact that the
169. three hundred-thirty-sixth is the fact that the three hundred-thirty-seventh is the fact that the
170. three hundred-thirty-eighth is the fact that the three hundred-thirty-ninth is the fact that the
171. three hundred-fortieth is the fact that the three hundred-forty-first is the fact that the
172. three hundred-f

For more information on this and other products, visit www.3m.com

the Commission has been very helpful in providing information and assistance in the preparation of the report. The Commission has also been very helpful in providing information and assistance in the preparation of the report. The Commission has also been very helpful in providing information and assistance in the preparation of the report.

add to railway cost at various stations, resulting after 1980 1981

It was in this year that the laws were enacted in the Kingdom of Prussia, which have since been adopted by many other countries, and which have done much to improve the condition of the working classes.

C. Court Decisions

During the period from 1937 to 1952, the most important court decisions of the entire controversy were the three handed down by the Supreme Court of the United States in the suits filed by the Federal Government against the three states with either actual offshore petroleum production or excellent prospects for such production—California, Louisiana and Texas.

Since offshore oil had first been discovered along the California coast, and because most of the early attempts for Federal control of the submerged marginal belt had been directed at this coast line, it is reasonable that the first suit should have been filed against California.

The substance of the Federal government's claim is contained in the following quoted paragraph from the complaint:

"At all times mentioned herein, plaintiff was and now is the owner in fee simple of, or possessed of paramount rights in or powers over, the lands, minerals, and other things of value underlying the Pacific Ocean, lying seaward of the ordinary low water mark on the coast of California and outside of the inland waters of the state, extending seaward three nautical miles and bounded on the north and south, respectively, by the northern and southern boundaries of the State of California."¹

The substance of the pleadings of this case have been generally covered previously herein. Emphasis was placed by the state on the indicated and accepted (until 1937) doctrine of state ownership and the sudden interest of the Federal government only when the areas were found to contain valuable petroleum deposits. The Government based its case mostly on the international law aspects, and the retention by the Federal government of the public lands within the state.

¹Ernest R. Bartley, *op. cit.*, p. 162 quoting from Supreme Court of the U. S., No. 12 Original, 1945 Term.

During the period from 1917 to 1925, the most important work

done by the United States Geological Survey was the survey of the

geology of the United States as was done by the United

States Geological Survey. The work done by the United States

Geological Survey during the period from 1917 to 1925 was

as follows:

1. The geology of the United States as a whole.

2. The geology of the United States as a whole.

3. The geology of the United States as a whole.

4. The geology of the United States as a whole.

5. The geology of the United States as a whole.

6. The geology of the United States as a whole.

7. The geology of the United States as a whole.

8. The geology of the United States as a whole.

9. The geology of the United States as a whole.

10. The geology of the United States as a whole.

11. The geology of the United States as a whole.

12. The geology of the United States as a whole.

13. The geology of the United States as a whole.

14. The geology of the United States as a whole.

15. The geology of the United States as a whole.

16. The geology of the United States as a whole.

17. The geology of the United States as a whole.

18. The geology of the United States as a whole.

19. The geology of the United States as a whole.

20. The geology of the United States as a whole.

21. The geology of the United States as a whole.

22. The geology of the United States as a whole.

23. The geology of the United States as a whole.

24. The geology of the United States as a whole.

25. The geology of the United States as a whole.

26. The geology of the United States as a whole.

27. The geology of the United States as a whole.

28. The geology of the United States as a whole.

Justice Black, who prepared the majority opinion in this decision, stated:

"The crucial question on the merits is not merely who owns the bare legal title to the lands under the marginal sea... (The United States Government) asserts that proper exercise of these constitutional responsibilities requires that it have power, unencumbered by state commitments, always to determine what agreements will be made concerning the control and use of the marginal sea and the land under it...In the light of the foregoing, our question is whether the state or the Federal Government has the paramount right and power to determine in the first instance when, how and by what agencies, foreign or domestic, the oil and other resources of the soils of the marginal sea, known or hereafter discovered, may be exploited."¹

Later in the opinion, Justice Black wrote:

"Not only has the acquisition, as it were, of the three-mile belt been accomplished by the National Government, but protection and control of it has been and is a function of national external sovereignty...The three-mile rule is but a recognition of the necessity that a government next to the sea must be able to protect itself from dangers incident to its location;...insofar as the nation asserts its rights under international law, whatever of value may be discovered in the sea next to its shores and within its protective belt, will most naturally be appropriated for its use... What this government does, or even what the states do, anywhere in the ocean, is a subject upon which the nation may enter into and assume treaty obligations...The very oil about which the state and the nation here content might well become the subject of international dispute and settlement."²

Thus the decision in this case was essentially that California did not own the contested area and that the United States has "paramount rights" therein. The decision did not hold that the Federal government held title to the submerged marginal belt, but only that protection and control of it was a function of national sovereignty.³

With this judicial victory under its belt, the Federal government moved to clear up the matter with respect to the other two oil-producing

¹332 U. S. 19, 29.

²332 U. S. 19, 34, 35.

³332 U. S. 19.

coastal states. On 21 December 1948, only after the general elections had returned the Democratic Administration to office, suits were filed against Louisiana and Texas.

Because of the different manners in which the states of Texas and Louisiana had entered the Union, and because neither entry fully paralleled that of California, it might have been surmised that court rulings with respect to these two states would vary somewhat from that handed down in the California case.

The arguments before the court did recognize the different manners of entry, but the court's decisions were the same, re-asserting the doctrine of paramount rights.

With respect to Louisiana, Justice Douglas wrote in the majority opinion:

"As we pointed out in *United States v. California*, the issue in this class of litigation does not turn on title or ownership in the conventional sense...The marginal sea is a national, not a state concern. National interests, national responsibilities, national concerns are involved. The problems of commerce, national defense, relations with other powers, war and peace focus there. National rights must therefore be paramount in that area."¹

The different mode of entry of Texas was ruled on in the following statement from the majority opinion, also written by Justice Douglas:

"When Texas came into the Union, she ceased to be an independent nation...The United States then took her place as respects foreign commerce, the waging of war, the making of treaties, defense of the shores, and the like. In external affairs, the United States became the sole and exclusive spokesman for the Nation. We hold that as an incident to the transfer of that sovereignty any claim that Texas may have had to the marginal sea was relinquished to the United States."²

Again, with respect to Texas, the doctrine that the National government held paramount rights, superior to those of the state, was enunciated when Justice Douglas wrote:

¹339 U. S. 699, 704.

²339 U. S. 717, 718.

THE ABOVE-REPRODUCED DOCUMENT IS A COPY OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT AND IS NOT A COPY OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT. IT IS A COPY OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT AND IS NOT A COPY OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT.

Enclosed for the following members of the House of Representatives is a copy of the report of the Committee on the Judiciary, which was adopted by the House on June 1, 1908.

The arguments before the court are that the defendant's conduct was negligent and that the plaintiff's injuries were caused by the defendant's negligence.

1. The first of these is the fact that the Commission has not yet received any information from the Government of the United Kingdom regarding the proposed changes to the law of the United Kingdom in relation to the treatment of the British Commonwealth countries.

the different ways of using it have been as follows:

...the ... of ... and ...

1. The first step is to identify the problem or question that needs to be answered. This involves understanding the context and the specific information required.

"It is said that there is no necessity for it - that the sovereignty of the sea can be complete and unimpaired no matter if Texas owns the oil underlying it. Yet as pointed out in United States v. State of California, once low-water mark is passed the international domain is reached. Property rights must then be so subordinated to political rights as in substance to coalesce and unite in the national sovereign...If the property, whatever it may be, lies seaward of low-water mark, its use, disposition, management, and control involve national interests and national responsibilities. That is the source of national rights in it..."¹

Thus was the controversy dealt with by the highest judicial body in the land; thus did the situation remain until 16 January 1953.

¹ 339 U. S. 719, 720.

[illegible]

© 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd, *Journal of Internal Medicine* 250: 399–406

© 2000 Blackwell Science Ltd, *Journal of Internal Medicine* 247: 399–405

VII. EXECUTIVE ORDER 10426 - 16 JANUARY 1953

A. The Navy Receives the Offshore Oil

Executive Order 10426, entitled, "SETTING ASIDE SUBMERGED LANDS OF THE CONTINENTAL SHELF AS A NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVE," and issued by President Truman, with almost no advanced notice, on 16 January 1953, has been referred to several times hereinbefore. Stripped to its essence, the Order provided as follows:

"By virtue of the authority vested in me as President of the United States, it is ordered as follows:

"SECTION 1. (a) Subject to valid existing rights, if any, and to the provisions of this order, the lands of the Continental Shelf of the United States and Alaska lying seaward of the line of mean low tide and outside the inland waters and extending to the furthestmost limits of the paramount rights, full dominion, and power of the United States over lands of the Continental Shelf are hereby set aside as a naval petroleum reserve and shall be administered by the Secretary of the Navy.

"(b) The reservation established by this section shall be for oil and gas only, and shall not interfere with the use of the lands or waters within the reserved area for any lawful purpose not inconsistent with the reservation."¹

Nearly sixteen years after they had originally asked for it, the Navy had the offshore oil. They had not only the California oil they had wanted in order to keep it away from the Japanese; they had all the offshore oil. The Supreme Court had said that the oil belonged to the National Government; Congress had been unable to legislatively give it to the states; and now the President had said that all the offshore oil and gas deposits

¹Fed. Doc. 53-734, as quoted from Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al., (1953), p. 1230.

THE JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION

PUBLISHED WEEKLY

Subscription price, Five Dollars per Annum in Advance

Entered as Second-Class Matter, June 26, 1902, Post Office at Chicago, Ill., under No. 102,363

Acceptance for mailing at Special Rate of Postage provided for in Act of October 3, 1917

Postage paid at Chicago, Ill., and at additional mailing offices

(Paid for by addressee)

THE JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION
PUBLISHED WEEKLY
535 N. Dearborn Ave., Chicago, Ill. 60610

Subscription price, Five Dollars per Annum in Advance
Entered as Second-Class Matter, June 26, 1902, Post Office at Chicago, Ill., under No. 102,363
Acceptance for mailing at Special Rate of Postage provided for in Act of October 3, 1917
Postage paid at Chicago, Ill., and at additional mailing offices
(Paid for by addressee)

THE JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION
PUBLISHED WEEKLY
535 N. Dearborn Ave., Chicago, Ill. 60610

Subscription price, Five Dollars per Annum in Advance

Entered as Second-Class Matter, June 26, 1902, Post Office at Chicago, Ill., under No. 102,363

Acceptance for mailing at Special Rate of Postage provided for in Act of October 3, 1917

Postage paid at Chicago, Ill., and at additional mailing offices

(Paid for by addressee)

Subscription price, Five Dollars per Annum in Advance

THE JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION
PUBLISHED WEEKLY
535 N. Dearborn Ave., Chicago, Ill. 60610

were to be administered by the Secretary of the Navy.

The order did provide that the existing situation was to continue in California, where offshore wells were producing under a stipulation entered into between the Attorney General of the United States and the Attorney General of California. The order further provided that leases and permits in effect in the Gulf of Mexico were to continue in force. With these two "fine print" provisions in effect, it turned out that the Navy didn't have much producible oil of its own, but they had tremendous prospects.

was to be administered in the morning of the day.

The order also provided that the necessary amount was to be paid to

the hospital, and that the necessary amount was to be paid to the hospital.

The order also provided that the necessary amount was to be paid to the hospital.

The order also provided that the necessary amount was to be paid to the hospital.

The order also provided that the necessary amount was to be paid to the hospital.

The order also provided that the necessary amount was to be paid to the hospital.

The order also provided that the necessary amount was to be paid to the hospital.

THE ORDER ALSO PROVIDED THAT THE NECESSARY AMOUNT WAS TO BE PAID TO THE HOSPITAL.

THE ORDER ALSO PROVIDED THAT THE NECESSARY AMOUNT WAS TO BE PAID TO THE HOSPITAL.

THE ORDER ALSO PROVIDED THAT THE NECESSARY AMOUNT WAS TO BE PAID TO THE HOSPITAL.

THE ORDER ALSO PROVIDED THAT THE NECESSARY AMOUNT WAS TO BE PAID TO THE HOSPITAL.

THE ORDER ALSO PROVIDED THAT THE NECESSARY AMOUNT WAS TO BE PAID TO THE HOSPITAL.

THE ORDER ALSO PROVIDED THAT THE NECESSARY AMOUNT WAS TO BE PAID TO THE HOSPITAL.

THE ORDER ALSO PROVIDED THAT THE NECESSARY AMOUNT WAS TO BE PAID TO THE HOSPITAL.

THE ORDER ALSO PROVIDED THAT THE NECESSARY AMOUNT WAS TO BE PAID TO THE HOSPITAL.

THE ORDER ALSO PROVIDED THAT THE NECESSARY AMOUNT WAS TO BE PAID TO THE HOSPITAL.

THE ORDER ALSO PROVIDED THAT THE NECESSARY AMOUNT WAS TO BE PAID TO THE HOSPITAL.

THE ORDER ALSO PROVIDED THAT THE NECESSARY AMOUNT WAS TO BE PAID TO THE HOSPITAL.

THE ORDER ALSO PROVIDED THAT THE NECESSARY AMOUNT WAS TO BE PAID TO THE HOSPITAL.

THE ORDER ALSO PROVIDED THAT THE NECESSARY AMOUNT WAS TO BE PAID TO THE HOSPITAL.

THE ORDER ALSO PROVIDED THAT THE NECESSARY AMOUNT WAS TO BE PAID TO THE HOSPITAL.

THE ORDER ALSO PROVIDED THAT THE NECESSARY AMOUNT WAS TO BE PAID TO THE HOSPITAL.

THE ORDER ALSO PROVIDED THAT THE NECESSARY AMOUNT WAS TO BE PAID TO THE HOSPITAL.

B. Actions of the Office of Naval Petroleum Reserves

Establishment of this huge new reserve had little effect on the operations or organization of the Office of Naval Petroleum Reserves. Headquarters of the Director were in Denver, Colorado and there were field offices at Tupman, California; Casper, Wyoming; and Fairbanks, Alaska.¹ A temporary Washington office was immediately established to handle matters relating to the administration of the submerged oil lands of the Continental Shelf and to provide information, liaison and assistance to other activities at the Seat of Government.²

This Washington office was staffed by one officer of the Navy's Civil Engineer Corps and one borrowed secretary.³ One might almost suspect that they didn't expect to stay in business very long. This suspicion is confirmed by the following statement of Rear Admiral Ira W. Nunn, Judge Advocate General of the Navy, before the House Committee on the Judiciary, on 26 February 1953:

"If, after the enactment of legislation, there remains in the Navy any continuing responsibility for any of the submerged oil lands, an appropriate increase in the existing organization will be required."⁴

The Navy office in Washington did accomplish one thing. From the time of its establishment until 7 August 1953, the date administration of the submerged lands of the outer continental was transferred back to the Secretary of the Interior from the Secretary of the Navy, the office

¹Hearings on H.R. 2948, et al, (1953), p. 211.

²Ibid., loc. cit.

³CDR W. M. Gustafson, CEC, USN, "Black Gold Beneath the Seas," U.S. Navy Civil Engineer Corps Bulletin, Vol. 7, No. 11, Nov. 1953, p. 13.

⁴Hearings on H.R. 2948, et al, (1953), p. 211.

Downloaded from ascelibrary.org by Seattle University on 06/06/15. Copyright ASCE, For All Rights Reserved, No part of this document may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from ASCE.

all of these will be covered by the proposed new rules.

* Revised estimates based on 1990 and 1991 data.

Downloaded from <http://ajphaphysiol.physiology.org/> by guest on September 11, 2012

[illegible]

...and the ...

Submitted on 15 April 1986; accepted on 10 September 1986.

© 2005 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, *Journal of Internal Medicine* 258: 103–110

100-443887-100

Diagrama funkcji σ dla $\sigma_1 = 1$, $\sigma_2 = 2$, $\sigma_3 = 3$, $\sigma_4 = 4$, $\sigma_5 = 5$, $\sigma_6 = 6$, $\sigma_7 = 7$, $\sigma_8 = 8$, $\sigma_9 = 9$, $\sigma_{10} = 10$, $\sigma_{11} = 11$, $\sigma_{12} = 12$, $\sigma_{13} = 13$, $\sigma_{14} = 14$, $\sigma_{15} = 15$, $\sigma_{16} = 16$, $\sigma_{17} = 17$, $\sigma_{18} = 18$, $\sigma_{19} = 19$, $\sigma_{20} = 20$, $\sigma_{21} = 21$, $\sigma_{22} = 22$, $\sigma_{23} = 23$, $\sigma_{24} = 24$, $\sigma_{25} = 25$, $\sigma_{26} = 26$, $\sigma_{27} = 27$, $\sigma_{28} = 28$, $\sigma_{29} = 29$, $\sigma_{30} = 30$, $\sigma_{31} = 31$, $\sigma_{32} = 32$, $\sigma_{33} = 33$, $\sigma_{34} = 34$, $\sigma_{35} = 35$, $\sigma_{36} = 36$, $\sigma_{37} = 37$, $\sigma_{38} = 38$, $\sigma_{39} = 39$, $\sigma_{40} = 40$, $\sigma_{41} = 41$, $\sigma_{42} = 42$, $\sigma_{43} = 43$, $\sigma_{44} = 44$, $\sigma_{45} = 45$, $\sigma_{46} = 46$, $\sigma_{47} = 47$, $\sigma_{48} = 48$, $\sigma_{49} = 49$, $\sigma_{50} = 50$, $\sigma_{51} = 51$, $\sigma_{52} = 52$, $\sigma_{53} = 53$, $\sigma_{54} = 54$, $\sigma_{55} = 55$, $\sigma_{56} = 56$, $\sigma_{57} = 57$, $\sigma_{58} = 58$, $\sigma_{59} = 59$, $\sigma_{60} = 60$, $\sigma_{61} = 61$, $\sigma_{62} = 62$, $\sigma_{63} = 63$, $\sigma_{64} = 64$, $\sigma_{65} = 65$, $\sigma_{66} = 66$, $\sigma_{67} = 67$, $\sigma_{68} = 68$, $\sigma_{69} = 69$, $\sigma_{70} = 70$, $\sigma_{71} = 71$, $\sigma_{72} = 72$, $\sigma_{73} = 73$, $\sigma_{74} = 74$, $\sigma_{75} = 75$, $\sigma_{76} = 76$, $\sigma_{77} = 77$, $\sigma_{78} = 78$, $\sigma_{79} = 79$, $\sigma_{80} = 80$, $\sigma_{81} = 81$, $\sigma_{82} = 82$, $\sigma_{83} = 83$, $\sigma_{84} = 84$, $\sigma_{85} = 85$, $\sigma_{86} = 86$, $\sigma_{87} = 87$, $\sigma_{88} = 88$, $\sigma_{89} = 89$, $\sigma_{90} = 90$, $\sigma_{91} = 91$, $\sigma_{92} = 92$, $\sigma_{93} = 93$, $\sigma_{94} = 94$, $\sigma_{95} = 95$, $\sigma_{96} = 96$, $\sigma_{97} = 97$, $\sigma_{98} = 98$, $\sigma_{99} = 99$, $\sigma_{100} = 100$, $\sigma_{101} = 101$, $\sigma_{102} = 102$, $\sigma_{103} = 103$, $\sigma_{104} = 104$, $\sigma_{105} = 105$, $\sigma_{106} = 106$, $\sigma_{107} = 107$, $\sigma_{108} = 108$, $\sigma_{109} = 109$, $\sigma_{110} = 110$, $\sigma_{111} = 111$, $\sigma_{112} = 112$, $\sigma_{113} = 113$, $\sigma_{114} = 114$, $\sigma_{115} = 115$, $\sigma_{116} = 116$, $\sigma_{117} = 117$, $\sigma_{118} = 118$, $\sigma_{119} = 119$, $\sigma_{120} = 120$, $\sigma_{121} = 121$, $\sigma_{122} = 122$, $\sigma_{123} = 123$, $\sigma_{124} = 124$, $\sigma_{125} = 125$, $\sigma_{126} = 126$, $\sigma_{127} = 127$, $\sigma_{128} = 128$, $\sigma_{129} = 129$, $\sigma_{130} = 130$, $\sigma_{131} = 131$, $\sigma_{132} = 132$, $\sigma_{133} = 133$, $\sigma_{134} = 134$, $\sigma_{135} = 135$, $\sigma_{136} = 136$, $\sigma_{137} = 137$, $\sigma_{138} = 138$, $\sigma_{139} = 139$, $\sigma_{140} = 140$, $\sigma_{141} = 141$, $\sigma_{142} = 142$, $\sigma_{143} = 143$, $\sigma_{144} = 144$, $\sigma_{145} = 145$, $\sigma_{146} = 146$, $\sigma_{147} = 147$, $\sigma_{148} = 148$, $\sigma_{149} = 149$, $\sigma_{150} = 150$, $\sigma_{151} = 151$, $\sigma_{152} = 152$, $\sigma_{153} = 153$, $\sigma_{154} = 154$, $\sigma_{155} = 155$, $\sigma_{156} = 156$, $\sigma_{157} = 157$, $\sigma_{158} = 158$, $\sigma_{159} = 159$, $\sigma_{160} = 160$, $\sigma_{161} = 161$, $\sigma_{162} = 162$, $\sigma_{163} = 163$, $\sigma_{164} = 164$, $\sigma_{165} = 165$, $\sigma_{166} = 166$, $\sigma_{167} = 167$, $\sigma_{168} = 168$, $\sigma_{169} = 169$, $\sigma_{170} = 170$, $\sigma_{171} = 171$, $\sigma_{172} = 172$, $\sigma_{173} = 173$, $\sigma_{174} = 174$, $\sigma_{175} = 175$, $\sigma_{176} = 176$, $\sigma_{177} = 177$, $\sigma_{178} = 178$, $\sigma_{179} = 179$, $\sigma_{180} = 180$, $\sigma_{181} = 181$, $\sigma_{182} = 182$, $\sigma_{183} = 183$, $\sigma_{184} = 184$, $\sigma_{185} = 185$, $\sigma_{186} = 186$, $\sigma_{187} = 187$, $\sigma_{188} = 188$, $\sigma_{189} = 189$, $\sigma_{190} = 190$, $\sigma_{191} = 191$, $\sigma_{192} = 192$, $\sigma_{193} = 193$, $\sigma_{194} = 194$, $\sigma_{195} = 195$, $\sigma_{196} = 196$, $\sigma_{197} = 197$, $\sigma_{198} = 198$, $\sigma_{199} = 199$, $\sigma_{200} = 200$, $\sigma_{201} = 201$, $\sigma_{202} = 202$, $\sigma_{203} = 203$, $\sigma_{204} = 204$, $\sigma_{205} = 205$, $\sigma_{206} = 206$, $\sigma_{207} = 207$, $\sigma_{208} = 208$, $\sigma_{209} = 209$, $\sigma_{210} = 210$, $\sigma_{211} = 211$, $\sigma_{212} = 212$, $\sigma_{213} = 213$, $\sigma_{214} = 214$, $\sigma_{215} = 215$, $\sigma_{216} = 216$, $\sigma_{217} = 217$, $\sigma_{218} = 218$, $\sigma_{219} = 219$, $\sigma_{220} = 220$, $\sigma_{221} = 221$, $\sigma_{222} = 222$, $\sigma_{223} = 223$, $\sigma_{224} = 224$, $\sigma_{225} = 225$, $\sigma_{226} = 226$, $\sigma_{227} = 227$, $\sigma_{228} = 228$, $\sigma_{229} = 229$, $\sigma_{230} = 230$, $\sigma_{231} = 231$, $\sigma_{232} = 232$, $\sigma_{233} = 233$, $\sigma_{234} = 234$, $\sigma_{235} = 235$, $\sigma_{236} = 236$, $\sigma_{237} = 237$, $\sigma_{238} = 238$, $\sigma_{239} = 239$, $\sigma_{240} = 240$, $\sigma_{241} = 241$, $\sigma_{242} = 242$, $\sigma_{243} = 243$, $\sigma_{244} = 244$, $\sigma_{245} = 245$, $\sigma_{246} = 246$, $\sigma_{247} = 247$, $\sigma_{248} = 248$, $\sigma_{249} = 249$, $\sigma_{250} = 250$, $\sigma_{251} = 251$, $\sigma_{252} = 252$, $\sigma_{253} = 253$, σ_{2

and the right hand is in the left hand. The right hand is in the left hand.

© 2004 Blackwell Publishing Ltd *Journal of Internal Medicine* 255: 103–110

Electronic Journal of the American Statistical Association

[illegible]

© 2000 Blackwell Science Ltd *Journal of Internal Medicine* 247: 395–402

The author's address is: Prof. August T. Oden, Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, 480 Lincoln Drive, Madison, WI 53706.

all of said information are known and set out in the following:

Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Washington, D.C. 20246

2442 • J. Neurosci., July 26, 2006 • 26(30):2437–2442

* * *

1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2645, 2646, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2650, 2651, 2652, 2653, 2654, 2655, 2656, 2657, 2658, 2659, 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2666, 2667, 2668, 2669, 2670, 2671, 2672, 2673, 2674, 2675, 2676, 2677, 2678, 26

DOI: 10.1002/for

collected \$3,158,974.63 as the Federal government's share of the production of petroleum from the lands under the Navy's jurisdiction.¹

¹ CLE Gustafson, loc. cit.

VIII. NATIONAL GOVERNMENT ACTIONS, 1953 - 1954

A. Legislative Action, 1953

When the Republicans took over the administration of the Federal government just four days after President Truman dropped his bombshell by establishing the offshore naval petroleum reserve, they were all ready to deliver victory to the proponents of state control just as quickly as it could be done.

During the election campaign of the fall of 1952 the so-called "Tidelands Oil Controversy" had been an important element. General Eisenhower, as the Republican Presidential Nominee, had declared himself as a proponent of state control of the marginal sea belt. The Democratic Nominee, Governor Stevenson, had indicated concurrence with the actions taken by President Truman. While the offshore oil controversy was not in itself the most important national issue, there can be no doubt that it was seriously important, especially in certain parts of the country. It may be reasonably surmised that this issue was at least in part responsible for General Eisenhower's carrying Texas with its sizeable count of electoral votes. Elsewhere, the offshore oil controversy was perhaps just another point in the score against the Democrats, as the electorate expressed its will that it was "time for a change."¹

When the new Congress convened, thirty-seven bills concerning off-shore submerged lands were referred to the House Committee, on the Judiciary² and four were referred to the Senate Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.³

¹From the 1952 Republican campaign slogan, "It's Time For A Change!"; sometimes freely translated as, "Throw The Rascals Out!"

²Hearings on H.R. 2948, et al, (1953), p. 2.

³Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13, et al, (1953), p. 3.

Hearings before the House Committee were held on 17 and 26 February and 3, 4 and 5 March 1953. Hearings before the Senate Committee were more extensive, being held for thirteen days between 16 February and 4 March 1953. Despite the opening statement to the Senate Committee by Senator Gordon of Oregon that, "all will agree that with the truly voluminous record already before the Committee, and in view of the fact that legislation already is long overdue in this matter, this year's hearing should be confined to matters not already before the Committee," there was much repetition before both committees of previously given information, and considerable exact duplication of information received by the two committees. Little that was new or startling occurred at the hearings, although the record of the Senate hearing is particularly valuable to a student of the controversy because it recapitulates and conveniently assembles a great deal of the information given in previous hearings.¹

In order not to endanger the chances for return to the states of the marginal seas within their historic boundaries, these areas were separated in the legislation from the outer continental shelf areas.

On May 1953, the first of these items of legislation became the law of the land. Section 4 of this Submerged Lands Act approved and confirmed the seaward boundary of each coastal state among the original thirteen as "a line three geographical miles distant from its coast line." It also provided that "any state admitted subsequent to the formation of the Union which has not already done so may extend its geographical boundaries three geographical miles distant from its coast line." To provide for those states who claimed their historical boundaries further seaward than three miles, the Act contained this statement:

¹Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13, et al., (1953), p. 6.

"Nothing in this section is to be construed as questioning or in any manner prejudicing the existence of any state's seaward boundary beyond three geographic miles if it was so provided by its constitution or laws prior to or at the time such state became a member of the Union, or if it has been heretofore approved by Congress."¹

Section 10 of this Act also revoked Executive Order 10426, "insofar as it applies to any lands beneath navigable waters."² The Navy no longer had the oil within the historic boundaries of the states, for as a result of this legislation the administration of the submerged lands within the states' newly confirmed boundaries became the responsibility of the states.

The second portion of the legislation of these times, dealing with the outer continental shelf - that portion seaward of the state boundaries - became Public Law 212 on 7 August 1953. This Act in effect confirmed the Presidential Proclamation No. 2667, asserting the United States' sovereignty out to the outer edge of the continental shelf, and transferred administration of these submerged lands from the Secretary of the Navy to the Secretary of the Interior - thereby revoking the balance of Executive Order 10426.³ That action took away the rest of the Navy's offshore oil and they were right back where they started from sixteen years earlier.

¹67 U.S. Stat. 29.

²Ibid.

³67 U.S. Stat. 462.

B. Executive Action, 1953

Other than for the President's promptly signing the two previously described items of legislation when they were transmitted to him by the Congress, the Executive Branch took little direct part in the solution of the controversy as it evolved under the Republican Administration. Cabinet members testified before Congressional Committees when they were asked to do so, but they offered mainly information, not opposition.

However, lest it be thought that the offshore oil was taken from the Navy against its wishes, note should be taken of the below quoted statement by Secretary of the Navy Anderson before the Senate Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs, on 24 February 1953. This statement is also considered to concisely portray what appeared to be the general attitude of the Administration during this seemingly final round of the controversy:

"...I should like to respectfully state that I, personally, concur with the statement of the Secretary of the Interior in believing that those offshore lands which are determined to be the property of the Federal government could most advantageously and most desirably be administered by the Department of the Interior rather than by the Department of the Navy.

"Implicit in this whole problem is a desirability of solving for all time the question of ownership and jurisdiction over oil-bearing lands beneath the surface of the sea. There should be a solution in order that conservation and development may proceed in the interests of our national community, State or Federal. I venture to hope, therefore, that legislation which may ultimately be enacted will be concerned with the entire Continental Shelf and earmark all portions of it for appropriate ownership, whether State or Federal. It may be that the Congress will determine that there is a difference between ownership of the marginal seas to the historical boundaries of the states and ownership of the petroleum under the

water between historical boundaries and the seaward limits of the Continental Shelf. Without further comment I hope that all areas will be definitely disposed of in the legislation now before it (sic)."¹

¹Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13, et al., (1953), p. 546.

C. Subsequent Developments, 1954

There have been no significant or material changes in the jurisdiction or administration of the offshore petroleum deposits since the enactment of Public Law 212 - the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act - on 7 August 1953.

That is not to say, however, that the controversy stopped completely on that date. During the sixteen year history of the controversy, there have been many periods of quiet longer than the ten months this armistice has now lasted.

As late as 15 March 1954, the Supreme Court denied a request of Rhode Island and Alabama that it hear a challenge of the constitutionality of the Submerged Lands Act. These states had based their suit principally on the "paramount rights" doctrine enunciated by the Court in the California, Louisiana and Texas cases. In the recent decision, the court ruled that there is no restriction on the powers of Congress to dispose of Federal property and therefore it was appropriate that ownership of the submerged lands be clarified or transferred by Act of Congress.¹

In May 1954, the Supreme Court denied a further request of Rhode Island and Alabama for a rehearing of their challenge.²

Nor are things all quiet on the legislative front. On 1 April 1954, Senator Douglas of Illinois, for himself and thirteen other senators, introduced Senate Joint Resolution 145. This resolution is designed "to subject the submerged lands under the marginal seas to the provisions of the

¹ World Oil, (Vol. 138, No. 5, April, 1954), p. 72.

² World Oil, (Vol. 138, No. 7, June, 1954), p. 87.

has not failed.
have been very periods of great length from the last session this session
on that date. During the winter part of the session, there
that is due to me, however, that the University should consider

[illegible]

In May 1952, the Defense Council issued a further request of funds to the Government of the United States for a continuing of their activities.

[illegible]

Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (Public Law 212) and to amend such Act in order to provide that revenues under its provisions shall be used as grants-in-aid of primary, secondary and higher education."¹ This resolution has been referred to the Senate Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs, but no hearings have been announced. The net effect of such legislation would be to return conditions to the status they had prior to 16 January 1953. It may be reasonably surmised that no action will be taken on legislation of this nature during the present Eighty-third Congress. It is just as reasonable to speculate that a Democratic victory in the Congressional Elections of November, 1954 would result in a more favorable Congressional atmosphere for such a resolution.

¹This description of the intent appears on Senate Joint Resolution 145 as printed for committee use.

overestimated their skills and the results were not as good as they had expected. The results of the study are as follows:

ALBANY, N.Y. (AP) — A woman has been sentenced to 15 years in prison for the murder of her husband, a judge, in 1981.

Letter written to the author by the author's father, 1890.

Journal of the American Statistical Association, 1997, Vol. 92, No. 439, pp. 1039-1047.

71 .changed handwriting between last period ending 8/09 to now dated as

[illegible]

CONFIDENTIAL

Department of Psychology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92037

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

IX. PETROLEUM ENGINEERING ASPECTS OF NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES

A. Establishment of the Reserves

1. 1912 - 1914¹

Early in the Twentieth Century, it became apparent that the navies of the world were in the future to be powered by oil, and the United States Navy itself had in prospect a complete changeover from coal to oil. At that time, the requirements of the other military services for petroleum products were limited almost entirely to a few lubricants; ground forces had not been mechanized and air forces were quite insignificant.

Because of this obvious future need of the Government for oil to run the Navy, it was considered that probable oil-bearing lands in the public domain should be permanently withdrawn as areas upon which entry could be made and claims staked out. As a result, President Taft, on 27 September 1909, issued an order temporarily withdrawing from entry and settlement certain large land areas in California and Wyoming. The following year, on 25 June 1910, Congress confirmed the authority of the President to make withdrawals of land in the public domain and ruled that such withdrawals were to remain in effect until revoked by him or by Act of Congress.

President Taft, thereupon, confirmed, on 2 July 1910, the withdrawals he had made the previous year. Neither of these two withdrawal orders mentioned the Navy; the lands were merely withdrawn from entry but

¹Much of the information in this subsection is condensed from testimony appearing in Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al (1953), pp. 125 ff; and Hearings on H. R. 2948 et al (1953), pp. 202 ff. The balance comes from the personal knowledge of the writer or information informally acquired from personnel associated with Naval Petroleum Reserves.

and the same was the case with the other two.

Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Washington, D.C. 20250

On 1 July 1950, the 1st Air Force was activated at its new headquarters at Hamilton AFB, California. The 1st Air Force was the first of four Air Forces to be activated under the new organizational structure. The 1st Air Force was assigned the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th, 10th, 11th, 12th, 13th, 14th, 15th, 16th, 17th, 18th, 19th, 20th, 21st, 22nd, 23rd, 24th, 25th, 26th, 27th, 28th, 29th, 30th, 31st, 32nd, 33rd, 34th, 35th, 36th, 37th, 38th, 39th, 40th, 41st, 42nd, 43rd, 44th, 45th, 46th, 47th, 48th, 49th, 50th, 51st, 52nd, 53rd, 54th, 55th, 56th, 57th, 58th, 59th, 60th, 61st, 62nd, 63rd, 64th, 65th, 66th, 67th, 68th, 69th, 70th, 71st, 72nd, 73rd, 74th, 75th, 76th, 77th, 78th, 79th, 80th, 81st, 82nd, 83rd, 84th, 85th, 86th, 87th, 88th, 89th, 90th, 91st, 92nd, 93rd, 94th, 95th, 96th, 97th, 98th, 99th, and 100th Air Divisions. The 1st Air Force was the first of four Air Forces to be activated under the new organizational structure. The 1st Air Force was assigned the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th, 10th, 11th, 12th, 13th, 14th, 15th, 16th, 17th, 18th, 19th, 20th, 21st, 22nd, 23rd, 24th, 25th, 26th, 27th, 28th, 29th, 30th, 31st, 32nd, 33rd, 34th, 35th, 36th, 37th, 38th, 39th, 40th, 41st, 42nd, 43rd, 44th, 45th, 46th, 47th, 48th, 49th, 50th, 51st, 52nd, 53rd, 54th, 55th, 56th, 57th, 58th, 59th, 60th, 61st, 62nd, 63rd, 64th, 65th, 66th, 67th, 68th, 69th, 70th, 71st, 72nd, 73rd, 74th, 75th, 76th, 77th, 78th, 79th, 80th, 81st, 82nd, 83rd, 84th, 85th, 86th, 87th, 88th, 89th, 90th, 91st, 92nd, 93rd, 94th, 95th, 96th, 97th, 98th, 99th, and 100th Air Divisions.

1. The first part of the report is a general statement of the purpose and scope of the study. It states that the purpose of the study is to determine the effect of the new tax law on the income of the average family. The scope of the study is limited to the income of the average family in the United States.

they still continued as a part of the public domain under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Interior.

On 25 June 1912, the Secretary of the Navy asked the cooperation of the Secretary of the Interior in securing the reservation for the Navy of oil-bearing public lands in California to insure a reserve to 500,000,000 barrels. In response to this request, the Geological Survey recommended an area in Elk Hills, Kern County, California, and on 2 September 1912, President Taft issued an Executive Order creating Naval Petroleum Reserve No. 1 from these lands.

At the time this reserve was set aside for the Navy, no actual discoveries of oil had been made by drilling. The selection of the area was mainly founded on general knowledge of its geology. No one knew at all whether it contained more or less than the five hundred million barrels the Navy had requested.

Because of the uncertainty as to the amount of petroleum contained in Reserve No. 1, the Geological Survey recommended a second reservation in Buena Vista Hills, Kern County, California. Accordingly, by an Executive Order dated 13 December 1912, President Taft created Naval Petroleum Reserve No. 2.

On 29 June 1914, the Secretary of the Navy wrote the Secretary of the Interior that the Navy was thinking of asking the President to create a naval petroleum reserve in the withdrawn lands of Wyoming in order that there might be an assured supply east of the Rocky Mountains. Apparently no mention was made of any quantity of petroleum that was desired. It might even be surmised that the Navy asked for this area principally because they thought they could get it. Accordingly, based on recommendations of the Department of the Interior, President Wilson, on 30 April 1915, signed

Copyright © 2004 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

On 17 June 1961, the Government of the United Kingdom announced that it was withdrawing its forces from the Congo. The Government stated that it was withdrawing its forces because it was unable to maintain its position in the Congo. The Government also stated that it was withdrawing its forces because it was unable to maintain its position in the Congo.

As the first step towards the solution of the problem, the following is suggested: (1) the establishment of a central committee to coordinate the efforts of the various departments; (2) the appointment of a special agent to investigate the situation; (3) the holding of a conference to discuss the problem; (4) the preparation of a report on the situation; (5) the implementation of the recommendations of the report.

[illegible]

It is the policy of the Department of the Interior to encourage the development of the oil and gas resources of the United States. This policy is based on the principle that the oil and gas resources of the United States are a national asset and should be developed in a manner that will benefit the Nation as a whole. The Department of the Interior is committed to the development of the oil and gas resources of the United States in a manner that will benefit the Nation as a whole.

an Executive Order designating the Teapot Dome area in Wyoming as Naval Petroleum Reserve No. 3.

All of these reservations were made before the Navy had completed its conversion from coal to oil as fuel for its ships. Little experience data were available upon which to base valid estimates of petroleum requirements. The 500,000,000 barrel "desire" is the one figure history has recorded.

2. World War I and After¹

Actual British wartime experience in burning fuel oil led to the conclusion within the Navy that the reserves they held were inadequate. Unfortunately, there did not appear to be any sizeable, probable oil-bearing areas left in the public domain in the Continental United States. Consequently, as a further guaranty of oil for the Navy to use in future emergencies, it was decided to segregate and hold apart for such purpose certain areas of the public domain containing shale rock capable of being mined, and from which the hydrocarbons contained therein could be converted into oil. By two Executive Orders, dated 6 December 1916, President Wilson designated an area in Colorado and a separate area in Utah as Naval Oil-Shale Reserves No. 1 and 2, respectively. These were followed on 27 September 1924 by the establishment of Naval Oil-Shale Reserve No. 3, also in Colorado and bordering Shale Reserve No. 1 on three sides.

Awareness of oil-seeps in the Point Barrow—Cape Simpson region of the Alaskan Arctic Ocean coast, during this search for additional naval reserves, led to the designation by President Harding, on 27 February 1923, of 37,000 square miles of the northern tip of Alaska as Naval Petroleum

¹Ibid.

to examine the condition of the road and to report on the same.

Resolved: That the

all of these questions were made known to the Board and

the committee that met on the 1st of the month of the year

and were satisfied with the report of the committee of the

committee. The committee of the year of the year of the

was satisfied.

7. That the 1st of the

and the British committee of the year of the year of the

committee of the year of the year of the year of the

committee of the year of the year of the year of the

committee of the year of the year of the year of the

committee of the year of the year of the year of the

committee of the year of the year of the year of the

committee of the year of the year of the year of the

committee of the year of the year of the year of the

committee of the year of the year of the year of the

committee of the year of the year of the year of the

committee of the year of the year of the year of the

committee of the year of the year of the year of the

committee of the year of the year of the year of the

committee of the year of the year of the year of the

committee of the year of the year of the year of the

committee of the year of the year of the year of the

committee of the year of the year of the year of the

Reserve No. 4. This huge area was wholly unproven, as to geology as well as petroleum, but it was a sedimentary basin and the seeps did exist.

Except for the brief creation by President Truman thirty years later, this completed the establishment of petroleum reserves for the Navy.

James M. Smith, the president of the American Association of University Professors, said in a letter to the board that the board's decision was "a landmark in the history of the profession." He also said that the board's decision was "a landmark in the history of the profession."

B. Military Petroleum Requirements

Except for the five hundred million barrel figure that prompted the establishment of the first Naval Petroleum Reserve, data are not available on early estimates of the petroleum requirements of our armed forces. Procurement by many agencies from many sources, plus the shroud of security, also obscures valid data for more recent years.

However, present security regulations do permit the use herein of some reasonably valid approximations of petroleum consumption by the military services during and since World War II. Peak military consumption occurred during the latter part of 1944, at which time the rate approximated one and a half million barrels per day! Total consumption by our military forces between the start of World War II in the fall of 1939 and its conclusion in 1945 exceeded one and a half billion barrels! During World War II, the maximum share of the national petroleum consumption rate used by the military was about twenty-one per cent. In recent peacetime years it has approximated three per cent of the total national consumption rate, while during Korean operations the military consumption rate rose to about five per cent of the national total.

It would be disastrous to conclude from these data that a war could be fought to victory by our nation on anything like twenty per cent of our total petroleum consumption. The writer has been informed by reliable authorities who were in the United States during the peak consumption days of 1944 that very little of the nearly six million barrels used each day was going to activities that were not essential to our nation's total war effort.

¹All above statistics adapted from Naval War College Review, (Vol. V, No. 7, March 1953), p. 15.

1. The first step in the process of identifying a problem is to define the problem. This involves identifying the symptoms of the problem and determining the scope of the problem. Once the problem has been defined, the next step is to identify the causes of the problem. This involves identifying the factors that are contributing to the problem and determining the underlying causes. Once the causes have been identified, the next step is to develop a plan of action. This involves identifying the steps that need to be taken to solve the problem and determining the resources that will be needed to implement the plan. Finally, the last step in the process is to implement the plan and monitor the results. This involves putting the plan into action and tracking the progress of the solution. Once the problem has been solved, the final step is to evaluate the results and determine if the solution was effective. This involves comparing the results of the solution to the original problem and determining if the problem has been solved. If the problem has not been solved, the process may need to be repeated.

It has been estimated that the military consumption of oil in the United States during the last year was about 1.5 billion barrels, or about 15 percent of the total oil consumption of the United States. The military consumption of oil is expected to increase in the future, as the United States continues to expand its military operations in the Middle East and other regions.

It would be dangerous to conclude from these facts that a war would be fought to destroy it or rather to exploit it for the good of our people. The writer has been informed by reliable authorities that the United States is not at all likely to start a war with Japan in 1941. It is only a matter of time before the United States will be able to defeat Japan. The writer has been informed by reliable authorities that the United States is not at all likely to start a war with Japan in 1941. It is only a matter of time before the United States will be able to defeat Japan.

C. Naval Petroleum Reserves - Production and Reserves Data

1. Continental

a. Elk Mills.¹ The only private owner of lands within the perimeter of the reserve was, and is, The Standard Oil Company of California. At present the Navy controls a surface area of 37,554 acres and Standard controls 8,541 acres. Operations at present are under a unit-plan contract between the Navy and Standard, approved by Congress and the President on 28 June 1944. By this contract, the Navy acquired absolute control over the rate of exploration, development and production.

In 1944 production was 17,000 barrels per day and with the signing of the contract, a development program was initiated to raise production to the maximum of 65,000 barrels per day authorized by Congress. Current production necessary to maintain the field in a state of readiness is limited by the Secretary of the Navy to 8,500 barrels per day.

The estimated present productivity of the two horizons in the field is 125,000 barrels per day at the maximum efficient rate, with an initial maximum emergency rate of 180,000 barrels per day. Based on present development plans, the field when completely developed would have a maximum efficient productivity rate of 142,000 barrels per day, with an initial maximum emergency rate of 217,000 barrels per day.

With the relatively complete data now at hand, it is estimated that the total petroleum in this reserve is now 710,000,000 barrels, of which 175,000,000 barrels are held by the Standard Oil Company and the balance of 535,000,000 is held by the Navy. A total of approximately 210,000,000 barrels of oil have been removed from this reserve to date.

¹Information and data from Hearings on H. R. 2943 et al (1953), pp. 203, 205, 206, 209; and Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al (1953), pp. 126, 128, 132.

[illegible]

The above information was obtained from the files of the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce, and is being furnished to you for your information.

1. The first step in the process of identifying a problem is to define the problem. This involves identifying the symptoms of the problem and determining the scope of the problem. Once the problem has been defined, the next step is to identify the causes of the problem. This involves identifying the factors that are contributing to the problem and determining the underlying causes. Once the causes have been identified, the next step is to develop a plan of action. This involves identifying the steps that need to be taken to solve the problem and determining the resources that will be needed to implement the plan. Once a plan of action has been developed, the final step is to implement the plan. This involves carrying out the steps that have been identified in the plan and monitoring the progress of the implementation.

1. The first step in the process of identifying a problem is to define the problem. This involves identifying the symptoms of the problem and determining the scope of the problem. Once the problem has been defined, the next step is to identify the causes of the problem. This involves identifying the factors that are contributing to the problem and determining the root cause of the problem. Once the causes of the problem have been identified, the next step is to develop a plan to address the problem. This involves identifying the actions that need to be taken to address the problem and determining the resources that will be needed to implement the plan. Once a plan has been developed, the next step is to implement the plan. This involves taking the actions that have been identified in the plan and monitoring the progress of the plan. Finally, the last step in the process is to evaluate the results of the plan. This involves determining whether the plan has been successful in addressing the problem and identifying any lessons learned from the process.

b. Buena Vista Hills.¹ Because the acreage owned by the Government is only 34.6 per cent of the field area—10,446 acres out of a total of 30,181 acres—and because a number of diverse private interests within the field are intent on producing oil, it has been impractical for the Government to attain control of production in Naval Petroleum Reserve No.2. Eighty-eight per cent of the Government's lands within this reserve have of necessity been leased to private interests to prevent the loss of petroleum therein by drainage resulting from production operations on adjacent private lands.

There are now approximately 280 productive wells in the reserve on land out-leased from the Government. Present production from the Government's lands approximates 4,500 barrels daily.

This reserve is now estimated to contain only approximately 20,000,000 barrels of recoverable oil from Government lands, while nearly 140,000,000 barrels have already been produced from these lands.

c. Teapot Dome.² This most famous and scandal ridden of the Navy's Petroleum Reserves may not really, on the basis of present knowledge, amount to very much as far as oil is concerned, despite its size of 9,321 acres.

All producing wells in this field, which is entirely Navy owned, were shut in on 31 December 1927 and the reserve has remained shut in since that date, except for some small quantity produced during tests of horizons lower than the shut-in Second Wall Creek sand. Prior to shut-in 3,550,228 barrels of oil were produced from this reserve. At the time of shut-in, sixty-four productive wells were producing a total of only 730 barrels per day. Remaining reserves recoverable from the Second Wall Creek sand are estimated to approximate 8,400,000 barrels.

¹Hearings on H. R. 2948 et al (1953), pp. 206, 210; and Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al (1953), pp. 129, 133.

²Hearings on H. R. 2948 et al (1953), pp. 203, 206, 207, 210; and Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al (1953), pp. 127, 128, 129, 133.

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

Discoveries on adjacent lands in lower horizons indicated the desirability in 1952 of exploring deeper beneath the reserve. Test wells indicated the existence of an oil accumulation in the Tensleep sandstone. One test well in this formation was dry, while a second well showed an initial productive capacity of 600 barrels per day. The areal extent, and accordingly, the magnitude of the reserves in this formation cannot, with the present limited data, be even roughly estimated. Additional exploratory drilling is planned in an effort to obtain sufficient data for approximate reserve estimates.

d. Point Barrow.¹ This tremendous reserve area on the Arctic Ocean was the "White Hope" of the Navy during the latter years of World War II and for a few years thereafter. At present, these hopes seem to be definitely graying. It is just too large an area to be properly explored within the limited funds that can be made available for that purpose from Naval appropriations.

Work to date in this reserve has been wholly exploratory, except for one small gas well that was drilled in 1949 to provide approximately half a million cubic feet per day for heat and fuel at Barrow Camp.

A substantial amount of geological and geophysical work has been accomplished. In 1945 an aerial magnetometer survey was conducted over the entire reserve. Between 1946 and 1950 a gravity meter survey was accomplished on the flat Arctic Plain within the reserve. About fifteen per cent of the basin has been covered by seismic work; however, an additional fifty per cent of the area is either not workable by seismic methods or is not considered at this time to require seismic surveying

¹Hearings on H. R. 2948 et al (1953), pp. 204, 207, 208; and Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al (1953), pp. 127, 130, 131.

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...

for a reasonable evaluation. Surface geological surveys have been conducted in approximately ninety per cent of the workable area.

Thirty-five test wells and forty-one core holes have been drilled on the Reserve, ranging in depth from 115 feet to 11,873 feet.

In the Cape Simpson area, about sixty-five miles east of Point Barrow, where active oil seeps exist, two shallow core holes have flowed 20° A.P.I. gravity crude oil at rates of 110 to 250 barrels per day each from a depth of 300 feet in the permafrost which is 1,320 feet thick here. Thirty-three other dry holes in this vicinity, including one test to 7,000 feet and another to 3,774 feet, force the conclusion that the two shallow wells produced from isolated pockets, or at best, from a very narrow strip one or two miles long.

At Umiat, in the southeast corner of the reserve near Latitude 69.5° North, Longitude 152° West, an oil field capable of producing 36.6° A.P.I. gravity crude oil has been discovered. Eleven test wells have been drilled in this field and present estimates of recoverable oil range from 30 to 122 million barrels. The principal factor in the variation of these Umiat potential reserve quantities lies in the estimation of the percentage of oil in place that is recoverable.

In 1951, operations in the Point Barrow Reserve were placed on a strict year-to-year basis, with determinations concerning future exploratory work to be based each year on results to that time and on the expected availability of appropriations. At present, very little work is in progress.

e. Oil Shale Reserves.¹ The Navy has no Congressional authority for the development and operation of their oil-shale reserves. The Bureau

¹Hearings on H. R. 2948 et al (1953), pp. 204, 207, 208; and Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al (1953), pp. 127, 130, 131.

© 2000 Blackwell Science Ltd, *Journal of Internal Medicine* 247: 395–401

[illegible]

Source: *U.S. Census Bureau, 1990*

© 2007 The Authors
Journal compilation © 2007 Blackwell Publishing Ltd

...and other people who are not in the same position as you are.

Everyday when the water is cold, the water is cold.

and was found to be 2.7% for the 1970-1971 season.

1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2645, 2646, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2650, 2651, 2652, 2653, 2654, 2655, 2656, 2657, 2658, 2659, 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2666, 2667, 2668, 2669, 2670, 2671, 2672, 2673, 26

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO LIBRARY

of Mines has been conducting experimental work near Rifle, Colorado, on Navy land with Interior Department appropriations.

Present estimates are that the 36,568 acres of Naval Oil-Shale Reserve No. 1 contain approximately seven billion barrels of recoverable shale oil in rich shales assaying twenty-five gallons or more per ton, and about thirty-six billion barrels of shale oil from the entire deposit, both rich and lean. No similar estimates have been made with respect to the 91,240 acres in Shale Reserve No. 2 and the 22,600 acres in Shale Reserve No. 3.

2. Offshore

a. General Discussion. Since the Navy no longer has any offshore petroleum reserves, it is perhaps useless now to include statistics concerning the estimated quantities of petroleum contained in the lands of the continental shelf. However, those areas outside the historical boundaries of the states are still the property of the Federal government; it may be surmised that they will remain so for some time to come; and it may be presumed that their oil could, in any emergency, be allocated by the Government to national defense. For this reason, brief statistics will be given. That these data on potential reserves are somewhat speculative has been explained previously herein.

b. California. The estimated total potential oil reserves beneath the continental shelf off the California coast are 2,000,000,000 barrels. Of this quantity, 900,000,000 barrels are estimated to lie outside the state boundary, which was assumed to be at the three-mile-limit.¹

Estimated proved reserves off this coast, as of March 1953, were 160,000,000 barrels, all of which lay within the three-mile state boundary.²

¹Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al (1953), pp. 583, 584.

²ibid., p. 577.

It must be borne in mind that the Government is not a charitable organization, and that it is not a business enterprise.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

General statement of the 1940-41 season of the 1940-41 season.

c. Texas. The estimated total potential oil reserves beneath the continental shelf off the Texas coast are 9,000,000,000 barrels. Of this quantity, 7,800,000,000 barrels are estimated to lie outside the state boundary, which was assumed to be at the three-league-limit.¹

Estimated proved reserves off this coast, as of March 1953, were 15,000,000 barrels, all of which lay within the three-league state boundary.²

d. Louisiana. The estimated total potential oil reserves beneath the continental shelf off the Louisiana coast are 4,000,000,000 barrels. Of this quantity, 3,750,000,000 barrels are estimated to lie outside the state boundary, which was assumed to be at the three-mile-limit.³

¹Ibid., pp. 581, 582, 584.

²Ibid., p. 577.

³Ibid., p. 581, 582, 584.

70

Estimated proved reserves off this coast, as of March 1953, were 419,000,000 barrels, of which only 84,000,000 barrels lay within the three-mile state boundary.¹

c. Summary. The continental shelf along the Atlantic Coast must at present be presumed to be without significant reserves; available information on the upper Pacific Coast offers little promise of success; geologic conditions in the areas of the Gulf of Mexico between the Mississippi Delta and the Florida Coast do not appear to be so favorable for the occurrence of petroleum as do the areas to the west.² For these reasons, it must be concluded that all probable offshore oil is along the coasts of the three states enumerated in detail.

¹Hearings on Senate Joint Res. 13 et al (1953), p. 577. In the event any reader is confused as to whether the Louisiana boundary is at three miles or three leagues, attention is invited to the fact that others have been equally confused. The following colloquy took place before the Senate Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs on 18 February 1953 and is recorded on pp. 279-281 of the above reference:

Senator ANDERSON: I understood that the HOLLAND bill would definitely limit Louisiana to three leagues from the coast.

Mr. LE BLANC (Attorney General of Louisiana): Three miles.

Senator ANDERSON: I thought it was three leagues.

Senator HOLLAND: No, No. Three miles.

Mr. LE BLANC: It does do that, but where are you going to start, Senator, to measure those three geographical miles?

Senator ANDERSON: On the coast.

Mr. LE BLANC: Where does the coast extend to?

Senator ANDERSON: Which is three miles from the shoreline.

Mr. MADDEN (Assistant Attorney General of Louisiana): From the coast and outside inland waters.

Senator ANDERSON: Where is the coast?

Mr. MADDEN: The coast, sir, is something that I cannot tell you where it begins and where it ends.

Senator JACKSON: Should you not come in with a metes and bounds description and define by statute where the coast is?

Mr. MADDEN: That would be an absolutely impossible thing for me to do, sir.

Senator JACKSON: How is a court ever going to do it?

Mr. MADDEN: I do not know, sir, but it would be their problem.

²ibid., p. 584.

1. The first part of the report is a general statement of the purpose of the study and the scope of the work.

[illegible]

It is noted that the above information was furnished to the FBI on 1/11/61 by the FBI, New York, and is being furnished to the FBI, Los Angeles, for their information.

1. The first of these is the fact that the
 2.
 3.
 4.
 5.
 6.
 7.
 8.
 9.
 10.
 11.
 12.
 13.
 14.
 15.
 16.
 17.
 18.
 19.
 20.
 21.
 22.
 23.
 24.
 25.
 26.
 27.
 28.
 29.
 30.
 31.
 32.
 33.
 34.
 35.
 36.
 37.
 38.
 39.
 40.
 41.
 42.
 43.
 44.
 45.
 46.
 47.
 48.
 49.
 50.
 51.
 52.
 53.
 54.
 55.
 56.
 57.
 58.
 59.
 60.
 61.
 62.
 63.
 64.
 65.
 66.
 67.
 68.
 69.
 70.
 71.
 72.
 73.
 74.
 75.
 76.
 77.
 78.
 79.
 80.
 81.
 82.
 83.
 84.
 85.
 86.
 87.
 88.
 89.
 90.
 91.
 92.
 93.
 94.
 95.
 96.
 97.
 98.
 99.
 100.

[illegible]

RECEIVED
JAN 10 1964
U.S. AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS
WASHINGTON, D.C.

[illegible]

JAMES H. HARRIS

In summary, the probable potential petroleum reserves of the continental shelf off the coasts of the United States amount to fifteen billion barrels, of which twelve and a half billion barrels are estimated to lie in areas retained by the Federal government.

It is the policy of the Government to provide for the maintenance of the national flag and the national emblem of the United States of America.

X. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Conclusions

1. Although the Navy first began acquiring petroleum reserves over forty years ago, its present holdings are inadequate to sustain a war effort of the military services. Maximum useful productive capacity of the Naval Petroleum Reserves does not exceed 220,000 barrels per day, but peak World War II military consumption was nearly 1,500,000 barrels per day.

2. There is little likelihood of the Navy's obtaining any offshore petroleum deposits as permanent Naval Petroleum Reserves. However, in time of emergency, production from the large reserves beneath the Federal owned Outer Continental Shelf and from other Federal owned lands ashore might be allocated to the military services.

3. Allocated production, from the Outer Continental Shelf and from other Federal lands in an emergency, would be available only if these areas were developed prior to the emergency.

4. In our national economic atmosphere, full development of the Point Barrow Reserve and the Outer Continental Shelf can only be accomplished by private capital working with a competitive profit motive.

5. Private capital, working with a competitive profit motive, adequately developed the petroleum industry of the nation to permit the sustenance of a maximum war effort during World War II, with only a small fraction of the military consumption coming from Naval Petroleum Reserves.

6. The best interests of the nation will be served by the development, under private auspices, of petroleum-bearing properties, wherever they may be, to the point that the products may be available at any given time of need.

2. PROPOSED AND ESTIMATED

1. PROPOSED AND ESTIMATED

1. Although the Navy has been unable to obtain estimates over the past few years, the proposed estimate for 1964 is \$1.5 billion. This estimate is based on the assumption that the Navy will be able to obtain the same amount of money as it did in 1963, and that the Navy will be able to obtain the same amount of money as it did in 1963.

2. There is a large amount of the Navy's operating and support activities which are financed by the Navy's operating and support activities. These activities are financed by the Navy's operating and support activities. These activities are financed by the Navy's operating and support activities. These activities are financed by the Navy's operating and support activities.

3. Although the Navy has been unable to obtain estimates over the past few years, the proposed estimate for 1964 is \$1.5 billion. This estimate is based on the assumption that the Navy will be able to obtain the same amount of money as it did in 1963, and that the Navy will be able to obtain the same amount of money as it did in 1963.

4. In any national economic emergency, the Government of the United States has the right to take such action as it may deem necessary to protect the national health, safety, or interest.

5. Private industry, working with a competitive market system, is the best way to produce goods and services for the Nation. The Government should not interfere with the operation of the free market system.

6. The best interests of the Nation will be served by the development of a national oil and gas policy which will ensure the Nation's energy needs are met in a timely and efficient manner.

7. The best interests of the Nation will be served by the development of a national oil and gas policy which will ensure the Nation's energy needs are met in a timely and efficient manner.

7. The best interests of the nation will not be served by unwarranted exploitation, for quick profits and royalties, of our petroleum resources, wherever they may be.

8. Uncontrolled production from the Outer Continental Shelf, with the resultant dumping of this production on the domestic market, could have serious effect on the excellent conservation practices of the Interstate Compact Commission.

5. The fact that the Government of the United States has not been able to secure the cooperation of the United States in the development of the oil fields in the Gulf of Mexico is a serious matter.

8. Uncontrolled production from the Outer Continental Shelf, with

Compact Commission.

have serious effect on the excellent conservation practices of the Interstate

the resultant dumping of this production on the domestic market, could

B. Recommendations

1. It is therefore recommended that the present Naval Petroleum Reserve Policy - as established by the Congress - be revoked and that it be replaced by a new National Defense Petroleum Reserve Policy covering Federally owned lands wherever they may be, and opening them to private development.

2. It is further recommended that the new National Defense Petroleum Reserve Policy embody provisions:

- a. Requiring compulsory unitization under the direction of the Department of Defense of all existing or discovered petroleum deposits beneath Federal lands where such unitization is feasible and in the best interests of conservation;
- b. Authorizing and directing the Federal agency administering the Outer Continental Shelf to become a member of, and cooperate fully with the Interstate Compact Commission;
- c. Giving absolute control over rates of production, within limits established in cooperation with the Interstate Compact Commission, to the Department of Defense; and
- d. Granting bonus and royalty provisions sufficiently attractive to foster private exploration and development under the above restrictions.

1. Introduction

1. It is the purpose of this report to provide a summary of the findings of the study conducted by the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, in the area of the proposed development of the proposed project. The study was conducted in the area of the proposed project, and the findings are presented in this report.

2. Objectives

2. It is the purpose of this report to provide a summary of the findings of the study conducted by the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, in the area of the proposed development of the proposed project. The study was conducted in the area of the proposed project, and the findings are presented in this report.

3. The study was conducted in the area of the proposed project, and the findings are presented in this report. The study was conducted in the area of the proposed project, and the findings are presented in this report. The study was conducted in the area of the proposed project, and the findings are presented in this report.

4. The study was conducted in the area of the proposed project, and the findings are presented in this report. The study was conducted in the area of the proposed project, and the findings are presented in this report. The study was conducted in the area of the proposed project, and the findings are presented in this report.

5. The study was conducted in the area of the proposed project, and the findings are presented in this report. The study was conducted in the area of the proposed project, and the findings are presented in this report. The study was conducted in the area of the proposed project, and the findings are presented in this report.

6. The study was conducted in the area of the proposed project, and the findings are presented in this report. The study was conducted in the area of the proposed project, and the findings are presented in this report. The study was conducted in the area of the proposed project, and the findings are presented in this report.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

A. References Cited

Bartley, Ernest R., The Tidelands Oil Controversy, Austin, University of Texas Press, 1953

Evans, Oren F., "Shoreline Processes of the Continental Shelf", World Oil, Vol. 129, No. 2 (June 1949), pp. 80-85

Gustafson, W. M., "Black Gold Beneath the Seas", U. S. Navy Civil Engineer Corps Bulletin, Vol. 7, No. 11 (November 1953), pp. 10-14

Malloy, W. H., Treaties, Conventions, International Acts, Protocols, and Agreements of the United States, Washington, Government Printing Office, 1910

Morse, John H., "Petroleum", Naval War College Review, Vol. V, No. 7 (March 1953), 1-82

Mouton, M. W., The Continental Shelf, The Hague, Martinus Nijhoff, 1952

Thorpe, Francis N., American Charters, Constitutions and Organic Laws, Washington, Government Printing Office, 1909

Umbgrove, J. H. F., "Origin of Continental Shelves", Bulletin American Association Petroleum Geologists, Vol. 30, No. 2 (February 1946), pp. 249-253

Umbgrove, J. H. F., The Pulse of the Earth, The Hague, Martinus Nijhoff, 2nd edition, 1947

Weaver, Paul, "Variations in History of Continental Shelves", Bulletin American Association Petroleum Geologists, Vol. 34, No. 3 (March 1950), pp 351-360

AUTHORS NOT GIVEN:

Code of Federal Regulations of U. S., Vol. 9, Title 34, Part 9.2, Washington, National Archives, 1938

Constitution of the State of California and of the United States, Sacramento, State of California, 1941

Congressional Record, Vol. 81 (19 August 1937), 9326

Congressional Record, Vol. 92 (1 August 1946), 10660

Federal Register, Document 53-734, Vol. 18, No. 13, (20 January 1953), p. 405

EXHIBIT

A. References cited

Barlow, James H., The History of the American People, 1901.

Barlow, James H., The American People, 1901.

Barlow, James H., The American People, 1901.

Barlow, James H., The American People, 1901.

Barlow, James H., The American People, 1901.

Barlow, James H., The American People, 1901.

Barlow, James H., The American People, 1901.

Barlow, James H., The American People, 1901.

Barlow, James H., The American People, 1901.

Barlow, James H., The American People, 1901.

Barlow, James H., The American People, 1901.

Barlow, James H., The American People, 1901.

Barlow, James H., The American People, 1901.

Barlow, James H., The American People, 1901.

Barlow, James H., The American People, 1901.

Barlow, James H., The American People, 1901.

Hearings before the House Judiciary Committee on Senate Joint Resolution 208, 75th Congress, 3rd Session, Washington, Government Printing Office, 1938

Hearings before the Senate Committee on Public Lands and Surveys on Senate Joint Resolutions 83 and 92, 76th Congress, 1st Session, Washington, Government Printing Office, 1939

Hearings, Joint, before the House Judiciary Committee and a Special Subcommittee on House Joint Resolution 118 et al, 79th Congress, 1st Session, Washington, Government Printing Office, 1945

Hearings before Subcommittee No. 1 of the House Judiciary Committee on House of Representatives Bill No. 2948 et al, Washington, Government Printing Office, 1953

Hearings before the Senate Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs on Senate Joint Resolution 13 et al, Washington, Government Printing Office, 1953

House of Representatives Report No. 2378, 75th Congress, 3rd Session (19 May 1938)

Newsweek, Vol. XLI, No. 3 (19 January 1953), p. 73

Newsweek, Vol. XLI, No. 4 (26 January 1953), p. 77

New York Sun, Vol. LXXX, No. 3 (3 September 1912), p. 5

Senate Joint Resolution No. 115, 83rd Congress, 2nd Session, (1 April 1954)

Time, Vol. XLI, No. 4 (26 January 1953), p. 77

World Oil, Vol. 138, No. 3 (15 February 1954), p. 150

World Oil, Vol. 138, No. 5 (April 1954), p. 72

World Oil, Vol. 138, No. 7 (June 1954), p. 87

Approved by the Board of Directors, 1950

Washington, Government Printing Office, 1939

RECEIVED
JAN 10 1964
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20250

Division of International Law, U.S. Department of State
Room 5600, Washington, D.C. 20520-5600
Tel: (202) 462-2244
Fax: (202) 462-2244

1974, 1975
 1976, 1977
 1978, 1979
 1980, 1981
 1982, 1983
 1984, 1985
 1986, 1987
 1988, 1989
 1990, 1991
 1992, 1993
 1994, 1995
 1996, 1997
 1998, 1999
 2000, 2001
 2002, 2003
 2004, 2005
 2006, 2007
 2008, 2009
 2010, 2011
 2012, 2013
 2014, 2015
 2016, 2017
 2018, 2019
 2020, 2021
 2022, 2023
 2024, 2025
 2026, 2027
 2028, 2029
 2030, 2031
 2032, 2033
 2034, 2035
 2036, 2037
 2038, 2039
 2040, 2041
 2042, 2043
 2044, 2045
 2046, 2047
 2048, 2049
 2050, 2051
 2052, 2053
 2054, 2055
 2056, 2057
 2058, 2059
 2060, 2061
 2062, 2063
 2064, 2065
 2066, 2067
 2068, 2069
 2070, 2071
 2072, 2073
 2074, 2075
 2076, 2077
 2078, 2079
 2080, 2081
 2082, 2083
 2084, 2085
 2086, 2087
 2088, 2089
 2090, 2091
 2092, 2093
 2094, 2095
 2096, 2097
 2098, 2099
 2100, 2101
 2102, 2103
 2104, 2105
 2106, 2107
 2108, 2109
 2110, 2111
 2112, 2113
 2114, 2115
 2116, 2117
 2118, 2119
 2120, 2121
 2122, 2123
 2124, 2125
 2126, 2127
 2128, 2129
 2130, 2131
 2132, 2133
 2134, 2135
 2136, 2137
 2138, 2139
 2140, 2141
 2142, 2143
 2144, 2145
 2146, 2147
 2148, 2149
 2150, 2151
 2152, 2153
 2154, 2155
 2156, 2157
 2158, 2159
 2160, 2161
 2162, 2163
 2164, 2165
 2166, 2167
 2168, 2169
 2170, 2171
 2172, 2173
 2174, 2175
 2176, 2177
 2178, 2179
 2180, 2181
 2182, 2183
 2184, 2185
 2186, 2187
 2188, 2189
 2190, 2191
 2192, 2193
 2194, 2195
 2196, 2197
 2198, 2199
 2200, 2201
 2202, 2203
 2204, 2205
 2206, 2207
 2208, 2209
 2210, 2211
 2212, 2213
 2214, 2215
 2216, 2217
 2218, 2219
 2220, 2221
 2222, 2223
 2224, 2225
 2226, 2227
 2228, 2229
 2230, 2231
 2232, 2233
 2234, 2235
 2236, 2237
 2238, 2239
 2240, 2241
 2242, 2243
 2244, 2245
 2246, 2247
 2248, 2249
 2250, 2251
 2252, 2253
 2254, 2255
 2256, 2257
 2258, 2259
 2260, 2261
 2262, 2263
 2264, 2265
 2266, 2267
 2268, 2269
 2270, 2271
 2272, 2273
 2274, 2275
 2276, 2277
 2278, 2279
 2280, 2281
 2282, 2283
 2284, 2285
 2286, 2287
 2288, 2289
 2290, 2291
 2292, 2293
 2294, 2295
 2296, 2297
 2298, 2299
 2300, 2301
 2302, 2303
 2304, 2305
 2306, 2307
 2308, 2309
 2310, 2311
 2312, 2313
 2314, 2315
 2316, 2317
 2318, 2319
 2320, 2321
 2322, 2323
 2324, 2325
 2326, 2327
 2328, 2329
 2330, 2331
 2332, 2333
 2334, 2335
 2336, 2337
 2338, 2339
 2340, 2341
 2342, 2343
 2344, 2345
 2346, 2347
 2348, 2349
 2350, 2351
 2352, 2353
 2354, 2355
 2356, 2357
 2358, 2359
 2360, 2361
 2362, 2363
 2364, 2365
 2366, 2367
 2368, 2369
 2370, 2371
 2372, 2373
 2374, 2375
 2376, 2377
 2378, 2379
 2380, 2381
 2382, 2383
 2384, 2385
 2386, 2387
 2388, 2389
 2390, 2391
 2392, 2393
 2394, 2395
 2396, 2397
 2398, 2399
 2400, 2401
 2402, 2403
 2404, 2405
 2406, 2407
 2408, 2409
 2410, 2411
 2412, 2413
 2414, 2415
 2416, 2417
 2418, 2419
 2420, 2421
 2422, 2423
 2424, 2425
 2426, 2427
 2428, 2429
 2430, 2431
 2432, 2433
 2434, 2435
 2436, 2437
 2438, 2439
 2440, 2441
 2442, 2443
 2444, 2445
 2446, 2447
 2448, 2449
 2450, 2451
 2452, 2453
 2454, 2455
 2456, 2457
 2458, 2459
 2460, 2461
 2462, 2463
 2464, 2465
 2466, 2467
 2468, 2469
 2470, 2471
 2472, 2473
 2474, 2475
 2476, 2477
 2478, 2479
 2480, 2481
 2482, 2483
 2484, 2485
 2486, 2487
 2488, 2489
 2490, 2491
 2492, 2493
 2494, 2495
 2496, 2497
 2498, 2499
 2500, 2501
 2502, 2503
 2504, 2505
 2506, 2507
 2508, 2509
 2510, 2511
 2512, 2513
 2514, 2515
 2516, 2517
 2518, 2519

Office of International Security
(10-10-10)

ST. JOSEPH, MISSOURI, 1892.

17. 17. 1991 (Sunday) 241.5 km, 1000 m, 1000 m, 1000 m

1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2645, 2646, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2650, 2651, 2652, 2653, 2654, 2655, 2656, 2657, 2658, 2659, 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2666, 2667, 2668, 2669, 2670, 2671, 2672, 2673, 26

Source: John Edgar Hoover, FBI, 1967

Downloaded At: 11:53 11 September 2009

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

STATUTES CITED:

United States Statutes at Large:

- 2 U. S. Statutes 611, "Enabling Act for Louisiana",
20 February 1811
- 2 U. S. Statutes 701, "Admission Act for Louisiana",
8 April 1812
- 5 U. S. Statutes 797, "Resolution Authorizing Annexation
of Texas", 1 March 1845
- 9 U. S. Statutes 108, "Resolution Declaring Admission of
Texas", 29 December 1845
- 67 U. S. Statutes 29, "Submerged Lands Act", 22 May 1953
- 67 U. S. Statutes 462, "Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act",
7 August 1953

State Statutes:

- Louisiana State Legislature, Act 55 of 1938
- California Statutes 1929, 944
- California Statutes, Extra Session, 1938, "State
Lands Act", 23

COURT CASES CITED:

- Ann, The, Federal Cases No. 397 (1812)
- Boone v. Kingsley, 206 Cal. 148 (1928)
- Church v. Hubbert, 2 Cranch 187 (1804)
- Fort Leavenworth R. Co. v. Lowe, 114 U. S. 525 (1885)
- Kohl v. United States, 91 U. S. 367 (1876)
- Pollard's Lessee v. Hagan, 3 How. 212 (1845)
- United States v. California, 332 U. S. 19 (1947)
- United States v. Curtiss-Wright, 299 U. S. 304 (1936)
- United States v. Gettysburg R. Co., 160 U. S. 668 (1896)
- United States v. Louisiana, 339 U. S. 699 (1950)
- United States v. Texas, 339 U. S. 707 (1950)

B. Related References Not Cited

Hearings before Subcommittee No. 4 of the House Judiciary Committee on House Joint Resolutions 176 and 181, 76th Congress, 1st Session, Washington, Government Printing Office, 1939.

Hearings before the Senate Judiciary Committee on Senate Joint Resolution 48 and House Joint Resolution 225, 79th Congress, 2nd Session, Washington, Government Printing Office, 1946.

Hearings before the Senate Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs on Senate Bill No. 155 et al, 81st Congress, 1st Session, Washington, Government Printing Office, 1949.

Hearings, Joint, before the Committees on the Judiciary, Congress of the United States on Senate Bill No. 1988 and similar House bills, 80th Congress, 2nd Session, Washington, Government Printing Office, 1948.

Hearings before Subcommittee No. 1 of the House Judiciary Committee on House of Representatives Bills Nos. 5991 and 5992, 81st Congress, 1st Session, Washington, Government Printing Office, 1949.

Hearings before the Senate Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs on Senate Joint Resolution 195, 81st Congress, 2nd Session, Washington, Government Printing Office, 1950.

Hearings before the Senate Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs on Senate Joint Resolution 20, 82nd Congress, 1st Session, Washington, Government Printing Office, 1951.

Hearings before the Senate Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs on the Hill Amendment to Senate Joint Resolution 20, 82nd Congress, 2nd Session, Washington, Government Printing Office, 1952.

1947/1948 before the Committee on Education and Labor, House of Representatives, 80th Congress, 1st Session, 1947.

1948/1949 before the Committee on Education and Labor, House of Representatives, 81st Congress, 1st Session, 1948.

1949/1950 before the Committee on Education and Labor, House of Representatives, 81st Congress, 2nd Session, 1949.

1950/1951 before the Committee on Education and Labor, House of Representatives, 82nd Congress, 1st Session, 1950.

1951/1952 before the Committee on Education and Labor, House of Representatives, 82nd Congress, 2nd Session, 1951.

1952/1953 before the Committee on Education and Labor, House of Representatives, 83rd Congress, 1st Session, 1952.

1953/1954 before the Committee on Education and Labor, House of Representatives, 83rd Congress, 2nd Session, 1953.

1954/1955 before the Committee on Education and Labor, House of Representatives, 84th Congress, 1st Session, 1954.

JAN 12
MR 18 56
MR 18 62
AP 20 62
AP 2 64
27 OCT 71
21 OCT 76

DISPLAY
9 2 6
1 2 2 3 5
1 2 2 3 5
1 4 5 8 9
2 0 5 2 0
2 3 6 8 2

25965

Thesis Lovell

L84 The Navy, naval petroleum reserves and the offshore oil controversy.

JAN 12
MR 18 56
MR 18 62
AP 20 62
AP 2 64

DISPLAY
9 2 6
1 2 2 3 5
1 2 2 3 5
1 4 5 8 9
2 0 5 2 0
2 3 6 8 2

The
L8

21 OCT 76

25965

Thesis Lovell

L84 The Navy, naval petroleum reserves and the offshore oil controversy.

thesL84

The Navy, naval petroleum reserves and t



3 2768 002 12680 7
DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY